

2015

Making La Ciudad Blanca: Race, Region, and Reconstruction in Nation Building Bolivia

Caleb Wittum

University of South Carolina - Columbia

Follow this and additional works at: <http://scholarcommons.sc.edu/etd>

Recommended Citation

Wittum, C.(2015). *Making La Ciudad Blanca: Race, Region, and Reconstruction in Nation Building Bolivia*. (Master's thesis). Retrieved from <http://scholarcommons.sc.edu/etd/3111>

This Open Access Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by Scholar Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of Scholar Commons. For more information, please contact SCHOLARC@mailbox.sc.edu.

Making La Ciudad Blanca: Race, Region, and Reconstruction in Nation Building Bolivia

by

Caleb Wittum

Bachelor of Arts

University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill, 2012

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

For the Degree of Master of Arts in

History

College of Arts and Sciences

University of South Carolina

2015

Accepted by:

E. Gabrielle Kuenzli, Director of Thesis

Matt D. Childs, Reader

Lacy Ford, Vice Provost and Dean of Graduate Studies

© Copyright by Caleb Wittum, 2015
All Rights Reserved

ABSTRACT

Prior to the 1899 Federal Revolution, Sucre elite used the memory of Chuquisaca independence exploits to justify their rule and imagine a future for the Bolivian nation. These symbols became widespread in the Bolivian public sphere and were the dominant national discourse for the Bolivian nation. However, dissatisfied highland elite began crafting an alternative national project and these two competing Nationalisms clashed and eventually led to the 1899 Federal Revolution. Following the war, the Liberal Party allowed and even supported a continuation of the Sucre based origin story in the Valley regions of Bolivia. This has created ideas of “Sucrese exceptionalism,” the discourse has been used continually to make political demands, and it was one of the underlying causes of the 2008 act of racial violence that took place in Sucre, Bolivia.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	iii
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION: INDEPENDENCE MEMORY IN SUCRE.....	1
CHAPTER 2: FIRST DEBATES ABOUT THE LOCATION OF THE BOLIVIAN CAPITAL.....	9
CHAPTER 3: THE ORIGINS OF SUCRE INDEPENDENCE MEMORY.....	27
CHAPTER 4: “SOY SUCRENSE”: A RECONCILIATION OR AN UNEASY PEACE.....	49
CHAPTER 5: INDEPENDENCE MEMORY AND RACISM IN THE 21 ST CENTURY.....	68
EPILOGUE.....	79
REFERENCES	82

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION: INDEPENDENCE MEMORY IN SUCRE

In the midst of the 1899 Federal Revolution a resident of Sucre attempted to clarify the issue that lay at the heart of Bolivia's regional conflict. This Sucre elite suggested that the upstart Liberal Party, which was headquartered in La Paz, wanted to usurp power from the traditional Conservative political machine located in Sucre. According to him, the La Paz based Liberal Party wanted to "dominate all of Bolivia," they wanted to move the capital to La Paz, they wanted to control all elected positions, and above all Paceños "want Sucre to be converted into a canton like Cochabamba, Potosi, Oruro, Tarija, Santa Cruz, and Beni."¹ The anxieties of the Sucre resident were well founded, and only four months later a number of his prophetic concerns came to fruition. As a result of the Liberal Party's victory in the 1899 conflict, the seat of government moved to La Paz, the Sucre based oligarchy lost political power, and Sucre no longer exclusively held the title of capital in Bolivia.²

¹ *El Buen Sentido*, January 9, 1899, p. 1 ABNB: PBCH 296

² Bolivia is one of only a handful of countries that have two capitals. Sucre retains the nominal title and is the seat of the Bolivian Supreme court, but La Paz is the true seat of government and is generally now regarded as the official capital of Bolivia.

Notably listed in his anxieties was the notion that Sucre might become relegated to a canton no different from numerous other departmental capitals in Bolivia. This concern pre-supposed that Sucre had a justifiably privileged place within the Bolivian nation. Sucre was more than just a canton, and the potential demotion to the level of a major city like Cochabamba or Santa Cruz was preposterous and just as offensive as the Liberals' regionally advantageous political maneuvering. This article will attempt to explore this reference to an intellectual project that defined Sucre as the preeminent city of Bolivia in the years leading up to the 1899 federal revolution. My focus will center on the late nineteenth and early twentieth century, in the years surrounding the Bolivian Federal Revolution of 1899, but the discursive tropes identified during this era remain the bedrock of a regional discourse in twenty first century Sucre.

I will argue that the intellectual elite of Sucre adopted a public discourse which attached their regional identity to Chuquisaca's historic role during Bolivia's struggle for independence. In particular, the Sucre elite claimed the May 25, 1809 Chuquisaca-based, royalist uprising as the first call for independence in the Spanish Americas and argued that Sucre was the true birthplace of the modern Bolivian nation. Early on, the discourse almost exclusively celebrated male Creole independence leaders such as Jaime Zudáñez and Juan Manuel Lemoine. As the Liberal Party continued to grow in political power and the threat of regional conflict escalated, Sucre elite began to allow the celebration of a broader range of independence actors, including mestizos such as Jose Vicente Camargo and women like Juana Azurduy in order to gain broader support for their nation building project. Despite this more inclusive historical account, Sucre elite went to great lengths to maintain ambiguous stances on the racial makeup of their region

and these independence figures. Instead of using racial categories to explain their privileged position, political leaders of Sucre stressed their role as the founders of the Bolivian Nation. Ethnic considerations were relegated to highland populations, and in particular Aymara groups, who served as the villanized “other.”³ This process allowed the Sucre elite to downplay their own indigenous ancestry, while still using a complex mixture of ethnic and regional explanations to justify Bolivia’s sociopolitical hierarchy.⁴

These racially ambiguous symbols of Independence were used to narrate a preferred history of Bolivia that began on May 25, 1809, when Chuquisaca residents first challenged Spanish colonial rule. In effect, this telling of Bolivian history downplayed the importance of the pre-conquest, indigenous past and to a lesser extent the colonial era of Bolivian history.⁵ Consequently, this Bolivian origin story allowed Sucre elite to claim that their city had a long tradition of modern, progressive, and democratic political activity; therefore, they had the only justifiable claim to political power in Bolivia. Throughout the late 19th century, this emblematic narrative became the major justification for the Conservative oligarchy’s privileged place in politics and was used to contest Liberal economic based assertions for more political power. While the Conservatives lost their control over Bolivia’s political sphere, their regional discourse remained remarkably enduring, and a variation of their narrative still serves to define present day identities in Chuquisaca.

³ For more on the idea of the cultural other see: Edward Said, *Orientalism*, (New York: Vintage Books, 1979)

⁴ Their ambiguous stance on race also allowed them to avoid awkwardly embracing European conceptions of whiteness that most in Bolivia could not live up to

⁵ There are other case studies in Latin America where scholars have found similar phenomenon: Rebecca Earle, “Padres de La Patria’ and the ancestral past: commemorations of Independence in nineteenth-century Spanish America.” *Journal of Latin American Studies*, Vol. 34 No. 4(2002). Pp. 775-805

Despite the importance of regional identity in contemporary Bolivia, relatively few scholars have investigated the historical basis for the country's geographic divisions. Scholarship has treated regionalism as a relatively recent phenomenon, and scholars typically explore the more contemporary regional rivalry between Santa Cruz and the highland regions instead of looking at other regional divisions.⁶ In large measure, this is due to the rather limited exploration of the 1899 Federal Revolution, which was an important turning point in the development of modern Bolivia. The scholarship on this era has a heavy focus on La Paz and the victorious Liberal Party, with heightened attention to the military actions and the eventual political trials of Pablo Zárate Willka.⁷ These works have debated the political motives of Willka and the villianization of Aymara groups in the aftermath of the conflict. Further, these works have elucidated the Liberal Party's Nation building discourse based on the Indigenous past, which was used to represent La Paz and the highland region. However, scholars have paid relatively little attention to the losing Conservative Party and the department of Chuquisaca. The few studies that do treat the region suggest that highland and valley intellectuals reconciled in the aftermath of the Civil War, because both groups of elite feared the potential for a race

⁶ The works that do look at regionalism almost exclusively look at Santa Cruz and the Bolivian lowlands: Jose Luis Roca, *Fisonomia del Regionalismo Boliviano*, (La Paz: Editorial Los Amigos del Libro, 1980); Jose Luis Roca, "Regionalism Revisited" in John Crabtree and Laurence Whitehead eds., *Unresolved Tensions: Bolivia Past and Present* (Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press, 2008) pp.61-82; Rossana Barragan, "Oppressed or Privileged Regions?: Some Historical Reflections on the Use of State Resources" in John Crabtree and Laurence Whitehead ed., *Unresolved Tensions: Bolivia Past and Present*, (Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press, 2008) pp. 83-104

⁷ Ramiro Condarco Morales, *Zarate 'El Temible' Willka: Historia de la rebelión indígena de 1899* (La Paz: Talleres Graficos, 1965). Silvia Rivera Cusicanqui, *"Oppressed but not Defeated": Peasant Struggles among the Aymara and Qhechwa in Bolivia, 1900-1980*, (Geneva: United Nations Research Institute for Social Development, 1987); Forrest Hylton and Sinclair Thomson, *Revolutionary Horizons: Past and Present in Bolivian Politics* (London: Verso, 2007). Forrest Hylton, "Reverberations of Insurgency: Indian Communities, the federal war of 1899, and the Regeneration of Bolivia." PhD diss., New York University, 2010. ProQuest (250869905); E. Gabrielle Kuenzli, *Acting Inca: National Belonging in Early Twentieth-Century Bolivia*. (Pittsburgh; University of Pittsburgh Press, 2013);

war led by the Aymaras.⁸ While both groups of regional elite may have agreed to villianize the Aymara, I contend that these regions continued to disagree on a number of key issues on the future of the Bolivian nation. Perhaps elite reconciled politically; however, culturally the elite of Sucre continued to espouse discourses that reflected local interests over national ones. Therefore, it is necessary to study the Conservative Party's nation building project prior to the war in order to truly understand the reconciliatory nature of the postwar era. This analysis of the Conservative Party's former nation building project will also provide a greater understanding of what the Liberal Party attempted to change, and it will help to explain the roots of Sucre's regional identities that continue to this day.⁹

Exploring the use of independence memory will not only unveil the historical roots of Bolivia's regional identities, but it will also add to our historical understanding of Latin America's independence legacy. There is a vast amount of scholarship on the independence era, but much less has been written about the ways independence memory has been appropriated in the postcolonial republican era¹⁰ A few recent works have

⁸ Beatriz Rossells Montalvo. "La 'Reconciliación' De Las Elites Intelectuales Después De La Guerra Civil (1898-1899): Los Caminos De La Violencia Étnica." *Estudios Bolivianos* No. 17; Hylton and Thomson, Revolutionary Horizons

⁹ There is an extensive scholarship on regionalism in Latin America, but scholars have not often used regions to analyze the Bolivian case study: Nancy P. Appelbaum, Muddied Waters: Race, Region, and Local History in Columbia, 1846-1948, (Durham, Duke University Press, 2003), Peter Wade, Blackness and Race Mixture: The Dynamics of Racial Identity in Colombia, (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1993), Barbara Weinstein, "Racializing Regional Difference: Sao Paulo versus Brazil, 1932" in Race and Nation in Modern Latin America, (Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 2003)

¹⁰ John Lynch, The Spanish American Revolutions 1808-1826, (New York: W. W. Norton & Company, 1973); José Luis Roca, 1809: La Revolución de la Audiencia de Charcas en Chuquisaca y en La Paz, (La Paz: Plural Editores, 1998); Javier Mendoza Pizarro, La Mesa Coja: Historia de la Proclama de la Junta Tuitiva del 16 de Julio de 1809, (La Paz: Plural Editores, 2009), Aline Helg, Liberty and Equality in Caribbean Colombia, 1770-1835, (Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 2004); Eric Van Young, The Other Rebellion: Popular Violence, Ideology, and the Mexican Struggle for Independence, 1810-1821, (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2001); John Tutino, From Insurrection to Revolution in Mexico: Social Bases of Agrarian Violence 1750-1940, (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1986)

started to look at how nation states appropriated historical symbols to legitimize their rule and to forge national communities.¹¹ Nevertheless, most of these works have only tangentially addressed the ways nation builders used independence memory to imagine their nations. By looking at the political and intellectual debates over independence memory this study will not only enhance the understanding of nation building, but it will also explore the long term legacy of the independence movements and how the uses of their memory continued to impact citizens of Latin American nations.

Numerous scholars have explored the ways in which memory functions as a collective experience, and scholars have noted its uses in nation building projects.¹² These works have been critiqued by a revisionist wave of memory scholarship that has shown how memory is both collective but also contested, fragmented, and used for political purposes for differing groups.¹³ Approaching the independence era from this perspective will help to explore the legacy of independence and hopefully move scholarship away from direct comparisons with mythicized European and North American depictions of the age of revolutions. Far too often, these studies expect independence to immediately live up to the promises of enlightenment thought.¹⁴ This is an unrealistic expectation, and using memory to explore a more “lounge duree” view of

¹¹ Ferrer, Insurgent Cuba; Earle, The Return of the Native; Lasso, Myths of Harmony; Kuenzli, Acting Inca

¹² Maurice Halbwachs, The Collective Memory, trans. Francis J. Ditter Jr. and Vida Yazdi, (Harper & Row, 1980); Pierre Nora, Realms of Memory: Rethinking the French Past, Vol. 1-Conflicts and Divisions, trans. Arthur Goldhammer, (New York: Columbia University Press, 1996); Rebecca Earle, The Return of the Native; David W. Blight, American Oracle: The Civil War in the Civil Rights Era (Cambridge: Belknap Press, 2013)

¹³ Joanne Rappaport, Cumbe Reborn: An Andean Ethnography of History (Chicago: University of Chicago press, 1994) Steve J. Stern, Remembering Pinochet's Chile: On the Eve of London 1998, (Durham: Duke University Press 2006); Kuenzli, Acting Inca

¹⁴ Other works have explored the memory of Independence including: Phillip Deloria, Playing Indian, (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1998; William H. Beezley and David E. Lorey eds., Viva Mexico! Viva Independencia!: Celebrations of September 16, (Wilmington: Scholarly Resources Inc, 2001); Hendrik Kraay, Days of National Festivity in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 1832-1889, (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2013)

independence will help to enhance our understanding of the true impacts independence, and its memories, have had in post-colonial Latin America.

Exploring the elite debates around the future of the Bolivian nation in the late 19th and early 20th centuries not only allows for the exploration of Latin American political and intellectual histories, but it permits a better historical understanding of the long term impact of historical discourses and regional identities. While the city of Sucre has not held the seat of government of Bolivia in more than a century, the issue of the Capital is still a highly contentious point that has recently led to overt acts of violence. In the 2007 constitutional convention following the election of Evo Morales, the number one demand by residents of Sucre was the full return of the seat of government to their city. In the midst of intense debates about Bolivia's political future and the proper location for the country's capital, a group of indigenous men from rural Chuquisaca were overrun by a hoard of angry Sucre residents as they tried to support peacefully the visiting Evo Morales on May 24, 2008.¹⁵ After they were detained, the indigenous marchers were hit repeatedly, forced to strip down to their pants, and were forced to bow down in front of the Casa de La Libertad. In front of this museum commemorating Bolivian independence, these indigenous men sat on their knees and listened to the crowd sing the *Himno a Chuquisaca*, and watch as the crowd burned the wiphala.¹⁶ Throughout this episode of racial violence, the residents of Sucre relied on language that eerily resembled the nation building discourses that dominated Sucre a century earlier. In between insults hurled

¹⁵ "Sucre, Capital del Racismo," YouTube video, 4:40, posted by "Sucretino," May 26, 2008, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=J2s15Mjgn9o>,

¹⁶ The Wiphala is a flag that carries important representative power for the indigenous communities of the Andes, and has become one of the official flags of Bolivia under the Morales presidency. The *Himno al Chuquisaca* is the equivalent to a state song and makes direct reference to the memory of the May 25th uprising that that serves as the emblematic moment for the city.

towards Evo Morales, there were chants of “Sucre is respected, damn it” and “We are Sucrenses,” which once again posited a view of Sucre as exceptional in comparison to the rest of Bolivia.¹⁷

Recently, historians have attempted to explore the historical origins of the outbursts of racism in Sucre, and scholars have focused heavily on the 2008 incident.¹⁸ However, their studies have used historical case studies from the highland regions to explain the roots of this skirmish, which took place in the valley region.¹⁹ In order to truly explore the long term causes of this incident, it is necessary to explore the local history of Sucre and the department of Chuquisaca. It is to this task that we now turn.

¹⁷ “Sucre, Capital del Racismo,” YouTube 4:40

¹⁸ Laura Gotkowitz ed., Histories of Race and Racism: The Andes and Mesoamerica from Colonial Times to the Present, (Durham: Duke University Press, 2011); Pamela Calla ed., Observando el Racismo: Racismo y Regionalismo en el Proceso Constituyente, (La Paz: Defensor del Pueblo y Universidad de la Cordillera, 2008)

¹⁹ Gotkowitz ed., Histories of Race and Racism

CHAPTER 2

FIRST DEBATES ABOUT THE LOCATION OF THE CAPITAL

On April 16, 1891, Eloy Martinez, the former president of an important intellectual organization called the Geographical Society of Sucre, sent a letter to the President of the municipal council of the Bolivian Capital, Valentin Abecia. In this letter, Martinez expressed the desire to increase public knowledge of the role the city of Sucre played in the independence movements. Martínez wrote, “you find in your possession various documents of historical importance, related to the Bolivian independence movements. We have agreed to entrust you with...our historical work on the events of May 25, 1809, in order to give greater ceremony to this event, which capital should celebrate in commemoration of the first cry for liberty and American emancipation.”²⁰ A month later, this letter along with the collection of historical documents was published by the municipal council of Sucre in celebration of the eighty second anniversary of the Sucre based uprising of May 25, 1809.

This publicly produced volume of documents includes a brief synopsis of the independence exploits of Chuquisaca residents along with a telling explanation for the reason behind their publication. According to the author, there was a dearth of information on the Bolivian independence struggles and in particular the heroic exploits in the city of Sucre. Abecia complained, “the history of our independence has many

²⁰ Eloy Martinez “Foreward” in Valentin Abecia Baldivieso’s Resena historica del 25 de mayo de 1809 en Sucre, capital de Bolivia. (Sucre, 1891)

absences, and it could be said to be in an embryonic state.”²¹ However, the author remained optimistic and openly hoped that this published collection would help to move the history of independence past the embryonic stage. The author’s goal is very straightforward, but it is unclear why Martinez, Abecia, and the other elite of Sucre had a sudden impetus to research and publish information about Bolivia’s independence era. While there is no direct answer to this question in the document, the president of Sucre’s municipal council does leave a hint in his opening contribution to the edited volume. Abecia states explicitly that he longed for the day “when historical criteria will replace local passions;-- to solemnize the anniversary of May 25, 1809 in which Charcas dazzled the new world with first lights of liberty...to announce the awakening of America.”²² Abecia’s passing reference to “local passions” is key to understanding this publication and the sudden desire to uncover the true history of Bolivia’s independence movements. During this era, a new economic elite from La Paz were beginning to question their own political marginalization and whether Bolivia’s governmental powers should reside in Sucre. With crashing silver prices and rising tin exports, mine owners in La Paz now played a bigger role in the success of the Bolivian economic sphere. However, this new highland elite did not feel that the distribution of governmental resources reflected their region’s contributions to the nation’s budgets. Feeling slighted by the conservative oligarchy, these new highland elite started a campaign to contest the city of Sucre’s control of political power and to suggest a new system of government based on a decentralized style of federalism.²³ In 1889, two politicians from the Liberal Party, Jose

²¹ Ibid, p. 3

²² Ibid p. 3

²³ Kuenzli, *Acting Inca* p. 25;

Carrasco and Arano Peredo, proposed for the first time a piece of legislation to move the capital from Sucre to La Paz and to enact a more federalist policy. This direct assault on the Conservative Party's political hegemony sent the Conservative elite of Sucre into a frenzy to justify their claim for political power. As their privileged position in politics was being called into question, Martinez, Abecia, and numerous other Sucre residents turned to the memory of independence to help justify their positions of power and to contest the transition of the capital of Bolivia.

The origins of this parliamentary call to transition the capital from Sucre to La Paz arose from the post-colonial struggles that faced the young Bolivian nation. In the early years of the republic, Bolivia was a country in turmoil. Following three hundred years of Spanish colonization, a series of massive indigenous uprisings in the late eighteenth century, and a protracted struggle for independence, the early governments of Bolivia struggled economically and politically.²⁴ As a result of these governmental constraints, most scholars have argued that post-colonial Bolivia retained a bureaucratic structure similar to that of the preceding colonial period. In particular, scholars have pointed to the persistence of Indigenous tribute into the late nineteenth century as evidence that independence resulted in a nominal change in political power but rather insubstantial material changes.²⁵ According to this perspective, most indigenous groups were able to retain autonomy in their local communities, or Ayllus, in exchange for

²⁴ For a more detailed discussion on the postcolonial struggles see Brook Larson, [Trials of Nation Making: Liberalism, Race, and Ethnicity in the Andes, 1810-1910](#) (Cambridge and New York: Cambridge University Press, 2004), pp.-202-246

²⁵ Tristan Platt, [El Ayllu y El estado Boliviano: Tierra Y Tributo en El Norte de Potosí](#), (Lima: Instituto de Estudios Peruanos, 1982); Larson, [Trials of Nation Making](#).

payment of the indigenous tribute the incorporation into a republic was hardly felt by most Bolivians.²⁶

However with a sharp spike in international silver prices in the 1860s, Bolivia's traditional mining industries began to rebound after a long period of neglect.²⁷ Following this economic boom the political leaders started a new liberalizing campaign to modernize the Bolivian nation. Inspired by developments in Europe and the United States, Creole elite hoped to renovate their country in order to conform to western standards of progress and modernity. In late nineteenth century, this liberalizing trend was enacted through a land privatization act called the 1874 *Ley de ex-vinculacion* which was designed to break up communal landholdings in indigenous communities as the government no longer counted on the indigenous tribute as their main source of revenue. *Further nation building elite* pushed for railroad development, a federalist system designed to give greater political autonomy to regions, and an interest in integrating the nation with telegraph lines.²⁸ Representing the hope in new technology, a Bolivian living in Montevideo, Joaquin de Lemoine, wrote of the promises of telegraph technology. In 1881, de Lemoine suggested that his home nation needed to adopt this new technology because telegraph lines could serve "as arteries of our social organism, indispensable for the vitality of Bolivia."²⁹ Lemoine's view of the importance of public investments and western styled progress are representative of many creole elite in late nineteenth century Latin America. Investments in railways, mining, and telegraphs along with land

²⁶ Platt, *El Ayllu y El Estado Boliviano*, Larson, *Trials of Nation Making*

²⁷ Larson, *Trials of Nation Making*, p. 213.

²⁸ Larson, *Trials of Nation Making*; Herbert Klein, *A Concise History of Bolivia* (Cambridge and New York: Cambridge University Press, 2011)

²⁹ Joaquin de Lemoine, *Intereses Económicos, Telégrafos Bolivianos*, (Montevideo, 1881)

privatization, federalism, and international trade were assumed to be the key to future of many nineteenth century nations.³⁰

As these modernizing goals were being enacted by Bolivia's lettered elite, the Andes became enflamed in regional warfare between Chile, Peru, and Bolivia over valuable mineral deposits. This so called "War of the Pacific", resulted in a crushing blow to Bolivia's national prestige and the loss of the country's only access to the Pacific Ocean. In addition to this setback, the international silver trade began to collapse as countries throughout the world started making the shift to the gold standard. Despite these stumbling blocks, the lettered elite of the valley regions were able to institutionalize their power, and they continued their goal of modernizing the Bolivian nation. These silver mining elite accomplished this by forming the Conservative Party in the 1880s, which went on to use electoral fraud to hold at bay the constant challenges from the Liberal Party. Despite these constant challenges, the Conservatives held control of the Bolivian political sphere until the federal revolution of 1899.

Despite their institutionalized political power, the Conservative Party's oligarchic hold over the Bolivia nation enraged a large portion of their constituency. Some members of the Indigenous communities in the highlands and valley regions protested the increased assaults on their communal living arrangements.³¹ The Conservative Party was very aware of the dangers of a dissatisfied indigenous majority since they still held communal memories of the age of Andean Rebellions in Sucre.³² To complicate matters,

³⁰ See: Todd A. Diacon, Stringing Together a Nation: Candido Mariano da Silva Rondon and the Construction of a Modern Brazil, 1906-1930 (Durham: Duke University Press, 2004)

³¹ Silva Rivera Cusicanqui, Oppressed but not Defeated; Hylton and Thomson, Revolutionary Horizons

³² For a discussion of these Rebellions and their legacies see: Steve Stern ed., Resistance, Rebellion, and Consciousness in the Andean Peasant World 18th to 20th Centuries, (Madison: University of Wisconsin Press, 1987); Charles F. Walker, Smoldering Ashes: Cuzco and the Creation of Republican Peru, 1780-1840, (Durham: Duke University Press, 1999) Sinclair Thomson, We Alone Will Rule: Native Andean

elite from other regions felt the Conservative Party was not serving their needs, and they began to demand political and structural changes. This can be seen most forcefully in the demand to transition the capital of 1889 from the opening vignette, although there were numerous other intellectual debates calling for policy changes as well.³³

The Conservative elite from Sucre took these challenges seriously because they understood that their claim to political power was tenuous as a result of the growing tensions from Indigenous groups and elite from other regions. In particular, the Sucre elite knew they did not have a strong claim for retaining the capital in their regional strong hold. Despite serving as the capital of Bolivia for the first eight decades after independence, the national government had actually met in a number of different locations over the course of this time period. According to the 1900 Bolivian census, the Nation's political representatives had met for congresses in not only Sucre but also La Paz, Tapacari, Cochabamaba, and Oruro over the first several decades of the Bolivian national period.³⁴ Part of the reason for the transitory nature of National Congresses in Bolivia was a result of the city of Sucre being ill suited to serve as the seat of government. One North American traveler even noted on his travels that "Sucre is made the legal capital, but neither it nor any other city has both the size and the central position that would qualify to act as a unifying force."³⁵ Despite their colonial past as a center for the Silver mining barons, the city of Sucre lacked the ideal size and infrastructure to serve as the seat of government for the Bolivian nation. The Conservative elite of Sucre were

Politics in the Age of Insurgency (Madison: University of Wisconsin Press, 2002); Sergio Serulnikov, *Revolution in the Andes: The Age of Tupac Amaru*, (Durham: Duke University Press, 2013); Charles F. Walker, *The Tupac Amaru Rebellion* (Cambridge: Belknap Press of Harvard, 2014)

³³ Morales, *Zarate 'El Temible' Willka: Historia de la rebelión indígena de 1899*

³⁴ Manuel Ballivian, *Censo general de la población de Bolivia, II parte historica* (La Paz: Ministerio de Gobierno y Fomento, 1900) ANB

³⁵ James Bryce, *South America: Observations and Impressions*, (New York, 1912) Pp.193- 194

aware of their weak arguments to rationalize their privileged position, so they turned to other justifications for the continuation of Sucre as the political capital of Bolivia. Since the Conservative elite no longer held sole control over the Bolivian economic sphere, they turned to an argument grounded on a historically based claim to justify their privileged status in the political sphere. In particular, the residents of Sucre found their strongest claims in support of their political power in the early constitutions and governmental decrees of the Bolivian nation. In Bolivia's 1825 constitution, the fourteenth article denotes that "the capital city of the Republic will be called from now on, Sucre."³⁶ The Conservative elite of Sucre used this political decree along with another one from 1839 to claim that Sucre justifiably served as the Capital of Bolivia. The 1839 decree gave them further evidence that their region should continue to serve as the seat of government since this law explicitly stated "the city of Chuquisaca is the Capital of the Republic, and comforting with the law of August 11, 1825, will be called from here forward the city of Sucre."³⁷ After the original call to shift the capital to La Paz, elite from Sucre began to republish these documents in public newspapers in order to help justify their continued control of the Bolivian capital and in extension control of political power.³⁸

As they started to disseminate these early governmental decrees, they also started to publish a series of biographies of independence figures from the valley regions of Bolivia. Part of this sudden push is the result of a broader cultural trend to commemorate

³⁶ Gobierno de Bolivia, *Colección Oficial de leyes, decretos, ordenes, resoluciones (Sucre: 1826)*

³⁷ Ibid

³⁸ "Documentos Históricos," *La Lucha* No. 37, December 19, 1898. ANB-PBCH 291

the independence era in Latin America during this epoch.³⁹ This played out throughout Latin America, and the Bolivian case is no exception. In 1885 the famous Bolivian statesman and writer from Cochabamba, Nataniel Aguirre, published the novel *Juan de La Rosa: Memoirs of the Last Soldier of the Independence Movement*.⁴⁰ This novel celebrates the memory of the independence era, and Aguirre also included his own commentary on Bolivia's contemporary struggles to form a national identity. Through the novel, Aguirre uses the fictitious De La Rosa, the last surviving member of the independence wars, to weigh in on a number of contemporary issues facing the Bolivian nation including the economic policies of the country and important questions about the racial make-up and modernizing potential for the Bolivian nation.

Similarly, the Sucre elite used approaches to representing independence exploits that were comparable to Aguirre and other elite throughout Latin America. The Sucre elite republished these early documents and spent extensive time researching independence leaders to justify their privileged position in Bolivian politics and to express their specific modernizing political platforms. Specifically, they began to celebrate the actions of Chuquisaca resident on May 25, 1809, which they began to claim as the first call for independence. Writing in 1893, one author explicitly made this claim by arguing "May 25, 1809 was then the day that was shouted the first cry of liberty and independence from the noble city of Sucre."⁴¹ These cultural trends that dominated the time period helped to shape the Conservatives' intellectual discourses and political projects.

³⁹ Rebecca Earle, "Padres de La Patria and the ancestral past: commemorations of Independence in nineteenth-century Spanish America."

⁴⁰ Nataniel Aguirre, *Juan de la Rosa: Memoirs of the Last Soldier of the Independence Movement* (New York and Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1998)

⁴¹ Centro de Estudios, *Velada Literaria de 24 de Mayo de 1893: en homenaje del pueblo de Chuquisaca*, (Potosi, 1893)

Despite the growing debates about the nation's future and the numerous disgruntled constituents in late nineteenth century Bolivia, the Conservative Party was able to maintain their institutionalized power for another decade. During this time they were able to craft a governmental policy that supported the interests of the valley regions of Bolivia and in particular the city of Sucre. Most importantly, the Conservative political leaders were able to craft economic and foreign policy that encouraged the international exports of Bolivia's mining industries. A noteworthy example of this is the Conservative Party's quick attempts to restore international relations with Chile after the "War of the Pacific," so as not to disrupt international trade. According to one Liberal leaning newspaper, "The president began by announcing that our relations with all foreign nations, and especially with our neighbors, are satisfactory and an environment of cordiality is maintained."⁴² The Liberal writer went on to editorialize that this characterization of international relations was "outside reality" and that this was only the Conservative Party's desire to profit economically. Following the War of the Pacific, regional relations were tense despite President Alonso's claims. In addition to these regionally advantageous political and economic policies, the Conservative Party began to enact a series of construction projects influenced by the cultural time period in order to reshape and modernize the capital of Bolivia.

The numerous construction projects carried out over the last decade of the nineteenth century help to reveal the preferential treatment that the Conservative Party gave to the city of Sucre. Although some historians have tried to debunk the claim that some regions have been privileged by the central government, there is evidence to

⁴² *La Lucha: Organo del Partido Liberal*, May 27, 1898. ANB-PBCH 291

suggest that Sucre received a disproportional amount of funding for construction projects in the years leading up to 1899.⁴³ In the span of ten years, the city of Sucre saw a massive regeneration of their cityscape, including the construction of a new National Palace and a brand new city theater, which they named after the independence leader Jose Antonio de Sucre. The National Palace and the Great Mariscal de Ayacucho Theater are but a couple of the numerous construction projects that sprung up during the waning years of the nineteenth century. However, they are representative examples of how the Conservative Party was able to use their privileged political power to gain tangible material gains for the city of Sucre.

Under the presidency of Ancieto Arce Ruiz, a notable resident of Sucre, the construction of the National Palace began on Sucre's *Plaza 25 de Mayo* in 1892. This governmental building was intended to be the residence for the President of the Bolivian nation, and its architecture was designed to represent the modernizing thrust of the Conservative Party. The desire to build this governmental structures probably arose due to the reliance of the 1839 decree in their claims that Sucre should remain the Capital of Bolivia. The second article of this decree stated, "the government will construct in the city of Sucre, the necessary buildings that the nation's capital needs for the high powers of the nation, principally the legislative building."⁴⁴ With a newly uncovered reason to add to the city scape of Sucre, the elite commissioned the building of this structure and designed it in order to reflect their view of Bolivia's future.

⁴³ Rossana Barragan's "Oppressed or Privileged Regions? Some Historical Reflections on the Use of State Resources" in *Unresolved Tensions: Bolivia Past and Present* (Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press, 2008)

⁴⁴ Ley de 12 de Julio de 1839 Articulo No. 1, El Congreso General Constituyente (1839). ANB

The National Palace was designed to espouse the ideals of power and progress that represented the Conservative Party's conception of the Bolivian Nation. The structure's classical style, white facade, and massive physical space, were meant to reflect the promising future of Bolivia. In particular, the building reflected a European aesthetic which went along with the Conservative's nation building project. The building was designed to claim that Bolivia could join the ranks of modern European and North American nations under the paternalistic guidance of the Sucre elite. In a country where literacy rates hovered around ten to fifteen percent, these physical buildings not only served the practical purpose of housing the nation's president but it was intended to help to inculcate a sense of national belonging and national pride.⁴⁵ However, constructing the "the white city" was not cheap and in the single year of 1896 the National government spent a reported 60,000 Bolivianos on this construction project.⁴⁶ These expenditures upset residents of other regions, who thought that the national budget was being disproportionately spent on one centralized location at the expense of the other needs of the nation.

While the National Palace might have been the most physically imposing contribution to the Sucre cityscape, a number of other projects were under construction during the closing decade of the century. According to a source published in 1899, the department of Chuquisaca received national investments for roads and bridges in excess of 150,000 Bolivianos for the year of 1896.⁴⁷ Furthermore, there were plans to construct

⁴⁵ For a discussion of the use of physical space to commemorate memory and national identity see: Halbwachs, *On Collective Memory*; Pierre Nora, *Realms of Memory*

⁴⁶ Claudio Q. Barrios, *Antecedentes Parlamentarios de la Revolucion Federal Iniciada en la Camara de Diputados de 1898, sostenida por La Paz y Triufante en los campos de Batalla*. (La Paz, 1898), P.11

⁴⁷ Ibid, pp. 11-12

statues representing independence figures in the main plaza of Sucre; however, these plans were temporarily put on hold. In addition to these construction projects, the city of Sucre received governmental funding for the construction of a city theater. According to the enraged La Paz resident, Claudio Q. Barrios, the “society of Chuquisaca wanted a dignified building to represent their illustrious past” and so they started the construction of a theater in Sucre.⁴⁸ According to a sarcastic Barrios, “the famous theater Jose Antonio de Sucre, that upon conclusion will look like the temple of Janus.”⁴⁹ This passing reference is almost certainly an allusion to the construction of the Great Mariscal of Ayacucho Theater, which still stands in Sucre to this day. While Barrios certainly made the comparison of the theater to the Roman temple of Janus in jest, the metaphor was appropriate. The Roman Temple of Janus was known for its central location in Rome and for its impressive size.⁵⁰ The Great Mariscal of Ayacucho Theater was designed to show the greatness and potential for progress of Bolivia and the city of Sucre.

While the Great Mariscal de Ayacucho Theater may have been intended to represent the contemporary greatness and future prospects of the Bolivian nation, it meant quite another thing to Bolivians outside of Sucre. As the sarcastic comments of Barrios indicated, a number of highland Bolivians were not pleased with the opulent spending in the capital city. Barrios oblique allusion to the temple of Janus may have been a sly reference to the other function of the roman building. In ancient Rome the Temple’s doors were used to indicate if the Roman Empire was at war. If so, Barrios directly connected the construction of the theater and the other projects to the Federal

⁴⁸ Ibid, pp. 18-19

⁴⁹ Ibid, p. 19

⁵⁰ Barrios, Antecedentes Parlamentarios de la Revolución Federal, p.

Revolution of 1899. While Barrios is but one example, there were a number of the members of the new economic elite from the highland mining regions that began a strong backlash against the Conservative Party's nation building project and their opulent spending in the city of Sucre. With the tin mining boom shifting the economic landscape, La Paz was the true economic center of Bolivia and leaders from that regions wanted to receive the benefits of these economic gains. They viewed a shift to a federalist system of government as the best way to contest the oligarchy in Sucre and their opulent spending habits.

The Conservative Party's Sucre-focused depictions of Bolivia as the birthplace of national independence, and the massive spending projects on the Capital city, generated tensions with the Liberal elite from the highland regions. Feeling slighted both economically and in terms of national representation, highland intellectuals began to develop a two tiered strategy to combat the Sucre centered view of Bolivia. The first of their strategies involved attempts to discredit the idea that Sucre was exceptional or had exclusive claim to heroic actions during Bolivia's independence era. While celebrations of independence are often meant to unite a nation, in Bolivia which region was home to the first cry for independence created political tension. This strategy eventually was accompanied by a celebration of a pre-conquest indigenous past to show that La Paz too had an emblematic past worth celebrating. In particular, the Liberal Party laid claim to an Aymara past prior to the 1899 Federal Revolution in order to claim an equal prestige to their rivals from the valley regions.⁵¹

⁵¹ For more on this phenomenon see E. Gabrielle Kuenzli, [Acting Inca](#)

Initially reacting against the Sucre centered discourses, highland elite began to claim that their own region had played a key role in the independence era. In an 1896 History text book written “for the schools of the Republic,” a highland resident named Jose Maria Camacho downplayed the role of the May 25, 1809 uprising in Sucre that played a huge role in the Conservative Party’s nation building project.⁵² He briefly described it as “a movement in which the Zudáñez brothers played an important role, by providing the opportunity for the men from Chuquisaca, who had brave hearts and advanced ideas, to turn the conflict into a prelude for a revolution.”⁵³ Camacho’s word choices in this telling exert reveal the tense atmosphere surrounding the regional debates of the time period. His choice of removing the primary action away from the men of Chuquisaca and placing the Zudáñez brothers, a pair of non-Sucre residents, as the true catalyst for the conflict diminishes the role of Chuquisaca. Further, Camacho is careful to describe this uprising as a “prelude” instead of an actual uprising or revolution. This lessens the importance of the events in Chuquisaca, and instead the author shifts the true revolutionary movements to the City of La Paz and the highland region. Describing the July sixteenth independence mobilization in La Paz, Camacho claims “this revolution of utmost transcendence, the first cry for emancipation in the continent, promoted by a few audacious and exalted gentlemen among them the popular and energetic Murillo and Gegorio and Victorio Lanza, the leaders most solidly imbued with the principles of liberty.”⁵⁴ This La Paz author takes great strides to discredit the Conservative Party’s narrative of Bolivian

⁵² Jorge Camacho, Compendio de Historia de Bolivia, (La Paz, 1896)

⁵³ IBID 68

⁵⁴ IBID 69

independence as the only site of revolutionary efforts, insisting that the Sucre elite share the heroic role of fighting for national liberation the highlands.

This strategy was widespread in the lead up to the war, and it continued after the conflict. According to another highland resident speaking on behalf of La Paz, “the department of La Paz, we say without fear of mistake, has been the preeminent place for wellbeing,...meanwhile Chuquisaca, without other incentive than their colonial fame, has not worked in any way to improve the nation, and only wants to enjoy foreign benefits, with the title of the capital of the republic, with which it has earned all its prestige.”⁵⁵

This once again diminishes the role of Chuquisaca and celebrates the Highland region in a positive way. These intellectual debates over the first cry for independence reflect the real struggles over political power going on during the era. Both regions tried to emphasize the positive characteristics of their region to justify their claims to political power of the Bolivian nation.

However, the highland elite did not just react against and mimic Conservative discourses. They also adopted a creative appropriation of symbols from ancient indigenous empires. Numerous scholars have explored this phenomenon and they have shown how nation builders used idealized images of indigenous empires to glorify their present day nations.⁵⁶ The pre-1899 La Paz Liberals are another example of using this strategy, and during this pre-war period they chose the ancient Aymara civilizations to represent their region and their party’s political projects. According to Camacho’s history textbook, he dates the origins of the Bolivian nation back to the Aymara civilization which conquered all of Bolivia. His textbook emphasizes the greatness of their

⁵⁵ Barrios, Antecedentes Parlamentarios de la Revolución Federal, pp. 6-7

⁵⁶ Deloria, Playing Indian; Earle, Return of the Native; Kuenzli, Acting Inca

constructions, especially Tiahuanaco, and even explores Aymara legends and myths. This chapter makes a clear connection between Bolivia and the Aymaras, and in his analysis the Aymara past shows that Bolivians has the potential of a bright future. Furthermore, a whole wave of highland intellectuals used similar arguments to claim the origins of the South American man, and therefore the Bolivian nation, dated back to the ancient Aymara civilizations.⁵⁷

Camacho's analysis of the Incas is much more tempered. His telling of Inca history labels the group as foreign invaders from Cuzco, and he even makes claims about the secondary nature of the Inca Empire. This interpretation suggests that Inca traditions and language are not as authentic or glorious as the Aymara ones, which predate the better known Inca civilization. While discussing the Quechua language, Camacho explained "the Incas tried to make the Quechua language obligatory for all people in their domain, but the resistance by the Aymara to this imposition was obstinate, but many did lose their original language, as is noted in the departments of Chuquisaca, Oruro, Potosi, and Cochabamba."⁵⁸ Camacho very cleverly is able to emphasize the importance of his region's past, while also denigrating the valley region's history in this passage. By suggesting that the valley regions had lost their authentic Aymara languages during the Inca colonial period, he is hinting that La Paz and the regions around Lake Titicaca have a claim to an original Bolivian-ness that the valley regions cannot claim. Therefore, this passage not only reflects the two divergent nation building projects, but it is also starting to show the developing regional antagonism of this epoch.

⁵⁷ Manuel Rigoberto Paredes, *La Altiplanicie* (La Paz: Ediciones Isla, 1965); Manuel Rigoberto Paredes, *Tiahuanacua y la provincia de Ingavi*, (La Paz: Ediciones Isla, 1955)

⁵⁸ Camacho, *Compendio de Historia de Bolivia*, p. 24

While this historical interpretation resonated in the highland regions, the elite in Sucre did not find Camacho's interpretation convincing or well founded. According to a member of Sucre Geographical Society the debate over the origins of the American man were a major intellectual problem of this era. He lamented the lack of information and the unfounded claims by Camacho and other highland elite like him. Specifically, he found the evidence lacking to suggest that the Aymara race had a longer history than the Incas, or that this ethnic groups had controlled a large geographic territory like the contemporary Bolivian nation. As he bluntly claimed, "we cannot believe that the Quechua were dominated by the Aymara and much less that they predated them, because if the Aymara race would have been a dominating force during some epoch of the territory that today is marked by Quechua descendent, we would encounter the vestiges of Aymara civilization, their monuments and above all the ethnological properties of that race."⁵⁹ He goes on to even discredit the Aymara's contributions to the ancient site of Tiahuanaco, which he suggests were built by Quechua speaking groups. The Sucre writers were intent on critiquing the highland interpretations of the Aymara in favor of celebrating the history of their region.

The author's central argument against such an interpretation was the lack of hard archeological evidence along with contemporary view of the Aymara communities as being backwards. According to him "how is it possible to explain the actual state of the Aymara race, with a previously great civilization like it is being claimed?"⁶⁰ Of all the indigenous groups in the valley or Highland regions of Bolivia, the Aymara were seen as

⁵⁹ La Sociedad Geográfica Sucre, "Origen de Hombre Americano-Idiomas Primitivas," *Boletín de la Sociedad Geográfica Sucre*, Issue 13 (Sucre, 1899), p. 40

⁶⁰ *Ibid*, 41

the least capable of inclusion into the modern nation state. The author relied on these interpretations of Aymara backwardness to claim that it was impossible for these contemporary Aymara to descend from an ancient civilization. Just as the Camacho tried to denigrate the rival region while celebrating his own region, the writer from the Geographical society of Sucre did the same thing.

These verbal exchanges surrounding the national iconography were fueled by serious underlying political and economic disagreements between the elite of the two regions. Following Liberal challenges to their Oligarchic control, the Conservative Party turned to early governmental documentation to justify the capital's continued residence in Sucre. However, the Conservatives did not just stick to governmental decrees to justify their ruling power, they also began to lay claim to a glorious past for the city of Sucre. This telling placed Sucre as the birthplace of the Bolivian nation which was formed by noble Sucrenses. Coupled with the massive construction projects enacted in the City of Sucre, elite from other regions began to air their complaints to the broader public.

During this epoch, Conservatives and Liberals were beginning to envision very different futures for the Bolivian nation. The two parties' partisan discourses, often based on regional stereotypes, started to push the Bolivia closer to open conflict. As the Bolivian nation got closer to war, the political discourse coming from the Conservatives in Sucre became better defined, and it evolved to more explicitly suggest that Sucre should retain the capital due to its long history of democracy and progress. The discourse portrayed Sucre as the emblematic city of Bolivia, and its citizens had the right to claim a status that was unavailable to residents from other regions. It is to these discourses and their implications to which we now turn.

CHAPTER 3

THE ORIGINS OF SUCRE INDEPENDENCE MEMORY

To combat the political advances of their regional rivals and the Liberal Party's cautious incorporation of the Aymara past into their nation narrative, Conservative elite developed a complex Bolivian origin story that narrated Sucre as the "cradle of Liberty" for South America.⁶¹ In numerous published documents intended for the literate public, the elite of Sucre crafted their preferred rendition of the Bolivian past. The Sucrenses' regionally specific memory project placed their hometown at center stage of the independence chronicle. Specifically, the Conservative elite relied on the events of a May 25, 1809 regional uprising, and the later independence military leaders from their region, to make the case that Sucre was the first city in South America to call for a rupture from the Spanish metropole. They published heroic tales of the enlightened doctors and lawyers from Sucre, whose actions "announced the awakening of South America" after a long era of colonial dormancy.⁶² Using the local independence figures of Jaime Zudáñez and Juan Manuel Lemoine, the Sucre elite emphasized the glorious past of their city and region, while espousing their party's political project for the future of Bolivia.

Throughout the published literature on the independence era, the Sucre elite weaved their concerns about the contemporary political debates about who should lead

⁶¹ Valentín Abecia Baldivieso, Reseña histórica del 25 de mayo de 1809 en Sucre, capital de Bolivia, (Sucre, 1891) p. 33

⁶² IBID 3

the Bolivia nation into the historical interpretations of independence narratives. In their writings, Sucrenses argued that the elite from the valley regions were the primary catalysts for the rupture from Spain. Further, they almost always indicate that these Sucre based independence leaders were enlightened creoles or whitened mestizos. According to their reading of Bolivian history, these formally educated elite created the Bolivian nation and helped to convince the less progressive majority that it was in their best interest to rebel against Spain. This paternalistic narration of Bolivian independence memory mirrored the Conservative Party's model for the nation's future. With contemporary Sucre residents of the Conservative Party serving the paternalistic role, Sucre elite would once again help Bolivia to revolutionize their country. Just as their symbolic ancestors had served as the leaders in breaking the chains of colonization, the contemporary Sucre elite would help push Bolivia into the ranks of the modern, progressive nations of Europe and North America.

Using these tropes, the Sucre elite argued their tutelage would turn backwards indigenous groups into functioning, although subordinate, members of the Bolivian nation. This paternalistic path to development was essentially the same as the Liberal Party's plan for Bolivia's future in the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries.⁶³ However, the Conservative Party placed creolized Sucre residents in the role of the paternalistic leaders of the Bolivian nation. Therefore, Sucre writers tried to represent Sucre and the Chuquisaca region as more progressive, and more similar to Europe, than the rest of Bolivia. In such a telling, Sucre was "the intellectual center of Bolivia, the

⁶³ Brooke Larson, "Redeemed Indians, Barbarized Cholos: Crafting Neocolonial Modernity in Liberal Bolivia, 1900-1910" in Nils Jacobsen and Cristobal Aljovin de Losada eds. Political Cultures in the Andes 1750-1950, (Durham: Duke University Press, 2005)

cradle of liberty” and was inhabited by only whites and mestizos.⁶⁴ Despite this desire, Sucre elite often were forced to make tenuous claims to the racial makeup of many of their independence figures.

In an era dominated by scientific racism and eugenic movements, few Bolivians measured up to European conceptions of whiteness.⁶⁵ Therefore, Sucre elite crafted their own eugenics discourse, which responded to European theories and addressed their regional rivals. Through this discourse the elite tried to remain ambiguous on the racial makeup of their region. This ambiguity is reflected in their narratives about the later independence figures like Jose Vicente Camargo and Juana Azurduy. Both of these later independence figure spoke Quechua and lived in parts of the valley regions that were regarded as indigenous. They led important political campaigns in the wars, but their questionable racial makeup made them problematic to include in the discourses that represented their region. However, due to the growing threat of the Liberal Party, Sucre elite began to incorporate these figures into their Bolivian origin story in order to increase support for their political project. Despite this inclusion, the Sucre writers took great strides to claim that these newly incorporated, emblematic figures were whitened mestizos despite their “Quechua blood” and Indigenous language skills.⁶⁶

The Sucre elite’s Bolivian origin story began with an overly ambitious Princess and a handful of local traitors. At least that is how the Sucre town council members of 1891 chose to remember their city’s past. According to this historical publication, the independence movement erupted when residents of Sucre became concerned that the

⁶⁴ *Velada Literaria*, p. 18

⁶⁵ Nancy Leys Stephan, *The Hour of Eugenics: Race, Gender, and Nation in Latin America* (Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1996)

⁶⁶ Carlos V Romero, *Apuntes Biográficos del Coronel José Vicente Camargo*, (Sucre, 1895), p.1

broad geopolitical events happening in Europe would have an immediate impact on their city's future.⁶⁷ Charles IV's abdication of the Spanish throne, the Napoleonic invasion of the Iberian Peninsula, and the Portuguese monarchy's escape to the Americas, left the Spanish and Portuguese colonies in an uncertain predicament.⁶⁸ To complicate matters, Sucre residents started to hear rumors that Princess Carlotta of Spain, the daughter of Charles IV who married King Joao VI of Portugal, intended to orchestrate the transition of the modern day Bolivian territory to the Portuguese crown.⁶⁹ Supposedly aiding Princess Carlotta were the president of the Charcas region, Garcia Pizarro, and the local Archbishop, Benito María Moxó, who both supported this project that would transition territorial sovereignty to the Portuguese.⁷⁰ Sucre residents sensed that Carlotta's plot was imminent, so the lettered elite met to discuss their political options. The meeting, which included doctors, lawyers, and academic professors, outlined complaints against President Pizarro and in particular it questioned a celebration planned for the arrival of Dona Carlota in Brazil. According to the publication, this "reunion of the doctors of Chuquisaca, whose purpose was to contest the plots of Dona Carlotta, can be considered the beginning of the revolution."⁷¹ However, Sucre residents did not rise up against Pizarro until their local hero Jaime Zudáñez, an educated lawyer and paternalistic "advocate for the poor," was unjustly imprisoned by President Pizarro for participating in the reunion of doctors.⁷² This depiction suggests that a cross-class and cross-racial imagined community cast its support behind a local Sucre elite instead of the Spanish

⁶⁷ Baldivieso, Reseña histórica del 25 de mayo de 1809 en Sucre, capital de Bolivia

⁶⁸ John Lynch, The Spanish American Revolutions 1808-1826, (New York: W.W. Norton & Company, 1986)

⁶⁹ Baldivieso, Reseña histórica del 25 de mayo de 1809 en Sucre, capital de Bolivia, p.6

⁷⁰ Ibid p.7

⁷¹ Ibid p. 18

⁷² Ibid p.

crown. Later Sucre elite interpreted this set of events as an announcement that the Sucre people were ready to emerge as a national entity.

Following this unjust arrest and a series of military fortifications made by President Pizarro, the doctors and lawyers of Sucre began to summon the popular classes into the city's main plaza to demand the release of Zudáñez. In order to arouse the masses, Juan Manuel Lemoine, another important lawyer from the city of Sucre, climbed the tower of the San Francisco Basilica to ring the church bell and call the people of the Sucre into the streets.⁷³ Heeding the call of the educated lawyer Lemoine, the Sucre populations were able to secure Zudáñez release from prison just two hours after he was originally detained. However, more importantly the Sucre peoples had also called into question the colonial regime and had unleashed the ideas of liberty that would soon spread throughout South America.

This minor uprising did not lead to immediate independence, and the Bolivian nation would not be formally created until fifteen years later in 1826. However, the Sucre elite pointed to this singular event as the origin of the Spanish American independence movements. In particular, they glorified the actions of the Sucre people, who they claimed were “naturally proud and restless” and had always been “distinguished by their reformist tendencies.”⁷⁴ According to the Bolivian origin story, Sucrenses were fed up with a colonial system that would incarcerate the local lawyer and public defender of the poor Zudáñez. Further, Jaime Zudáñez and the fellow doctors and lawyers of Sucre were credited with fomenting the campaign to overthrow the Spanish crown. This depiction of events claims that the local President of Charcas was really a representation of the

⁷⁴ Ibid p. 6

Spanish crown, and Zudáñez, Lemoine, and the other Sucre elite actions were a direct challenge to the colonial system. This interpretation proposes that the May 25 uprising unleashed the ideas of independence in South America, and following the lead of the Sucre, Creoles in La Paz, Buenos Aires, and throughout South America began to rise up against Spanish Oppression. This place Sucre elite not only as the true fathers of the Bolivian nation, but of South American independence in general. According to later Chuquisaca elite, this Sucre independence narrative ordained later leaders, and their city, with a noble and useable past.

The Sucre elite's memory of independence has all the aspects of a fantastic origin story. There is an evil member of the royal family in the form of Princess Carlotta, a selfless hero in Jaime Zudáñez, and a group of proto-citizens in the masses of Sucre. The author even goes to lengths to explain that the proud, restless, and reformist peoples of Sucre had a local consciousness that would prefigure an imagined National community. According to the author, all that was needed was a sounding of the church bell by the esteemed lawyer Lemoine to wake the Americas from their colonial slumber.

This important alarm clock for Bolivian independence was set up and carried out by the elite residents of Sucre. Throughout the narratives, authors place educated Sucre residents like Lemoine and Zudáñez as the main characters of the independence epic. It was these enlightened lawyers, who led other Bolivians to their political freedom and created the Bolivian nation. According to the local Geographic Society, "the generous idea of political emancipation was incubated in the minds of the doctors of Chuquisaca. These ideas finally sprouted on May 25, 1809, like a flowering of hope."⁷⁵ This narration

⁷⁵ La Sociedad Geográfica, "En Homenaje Al 25 de Mayo de 1809," *La Boletín de la Sociedad Geográfica Sucre*, Issue no. 44, 1902, p.125

depicted the independence struggle as a top down project originating from the doctors of Chuquisaca. Completely absent from these earliest descriptions of the Sucre-center origin story are representations of Jose Vicente Camargo or Juana Azurduy. Early on Conservatives attempted to use only educated elite like Lemoine and Zudáñez to represent their country, so the uneducated and potentially mestizo Camargo and Azurduy did not fit into their origin story. Therefore, according to the early Sucre narrations, it was the formal meeting of Doctors, including Zudáñez and Lemoine, where the seeds for independence and the Bolivian nation began to sprout.

This narration of independence memory dovetailed nicely with their political platforms for Bolivia's future. Paternalistic elite from Sucre had helped to break the chains of Spanish oppression by encouraging the masses to rise to action, and the Conservative Party was going to attempt a similar paternalistic project to re-invigorate the Bolivian nation. Since the city of Sucre had a long history of such actions, it seemed logical, to the Sucre elite, that the historic city of Sucre was most qualified to lead the Bolivian people. The Sucre elite's narration of independence memory was designed to justify their Conservative nation building project, which suggested the path to a successful Bolivian nation was through economic development, land privatization, and governmental investments in railroads and telegraph lines. These plans were to be carried out by the inheritors of the May 25, 1809 uprising who would once again help to advance the Bolivian nation in new, modernizing directions. In this case study, and in numerous

others which have been studied by scholars, there are obvious connections between the organized displays of public memory and contemporary political projects.⁷⁶

It is clear then that the Conservative Party was trying to make a political statement in their narration of the Bolivian past. This discourse suggested that Sucre residents had a long track record of progressive thought, and that the elite of the region were the most qualified to lead the Bolivian nation. The Sucre elite's memory of historical events was both shaped by past events like the May 25th uprising and contemporary events, like the Liberal Party's political growth and newly articulated demands for political power. In an effort to contest the 1889 Liberal demands to reorganize the Bolivian political sphere, Conservatives crafted the Sucre-based, Bolivian origin story to justify the continuation of their control of the Bolivian political power.⁷⁷ Their preferred memory of the origins of Bolivian nation both helped to justify their political power and it suggested that under Conservative tutelage the Bolivian nation could imagine a bright future.

While it is challenging to ascertain the level to which this discourse resonated with the Bolivian people, this preferred narration of Bolivian history was espoused to a broader audience in the years leading up to the war. Sucre was the capital of Bolivia and this discourse was the dominant national narrative in the years before the Federal Revolution of 1899. In addition to the publications in Sucre, other works were published which supported the Sucre origin story in neighboring towns of Cochabamba and Potosi,

⁷⁶ Joane Rapport, Cumbe Reborn: An Andean Ethnography of History, (Chicago and London: The University of Chicago Press, 1994); Steve J. Stern, Remembering Pinochet's Chile: On the Eve of London 1998, (Durham and London: Duke University Press, 2006)

⁷⁷ Alessandro Portelli, The Death of Luigi Trastulli and Other Stories: Form and Meaning in Oral History, (Albany: State University of New York Press, 1990); Pierre Nora ed., Realms of Memory: Rethinking the French Past, Vol. 1-Conflicts and Divisions (New York: Columbia University Press, 1996)

both located in the valley region.⁷⁸ Further, there are references in the city newspapers of Sucre to celebrations of the eighty-ninth anniversary of May 25th. According to the report, “this glorious day has been celebrated with the excitement and enthusiasm by all the social classes of the city.”⁷⁹ These sources only hint at the interpretation of the illiterate classes of Sucre, but illiterate members of the Bolivian nation were at least exposed to public celebrations of these events. Further, during this period illiterate Sucrenses would have seen the “sites of memory” such as the new governmental palace and the Gran Mariscal Theater that were mentioned in the previous chapter.⁸⁰ The concept of “sites of memory” suggests that the elite actively try to influence memory of people through public works. These sites of embodied memories alter the concept of memories according to scholars.⁸¹ So, the Sucre centered political and historical discourse was being disseminated through a number of mediums during the epoch, and non-elite were similarly exposed to the Conservative political project.

However, a more detailed analysis can be made on the reception of these discourses by elite from other cities in the valley region. It appears as though the elite in Potosi embraced the Sucre Conservatives’ Bolivian origin story in the waning years of the nineteenth century. In 1893, the *Centro de Estudios* of Potosi published celebratory poems, biographies, and short essays about the people of Chuquisaca and their contributions to Bolivian independence. In this self-labeled “Literary Soiree,” one contributor wrote “Honor to the cultured city of the 25 of May! Give thanks and love to

⁷⁸ Centro de Estudios, *Velada Literaria de 24 de Mayo de 1893: en homenaje del pueblo de Chuquisaca*, (Potosi, 1893); “Documentos Históricos” *La Lucha*, December 19, 1898, p.2

⁷⁹ “El 89 Aniversario del 25 de Mayo,” *La Lucha: ‘Órgano del Partido Liberal’* Sucre, Bolivia. May 27, 1898, p. 1 ANBN PBCH 291

⁸⁰ Pierre Nora, *Realms of Memory*

⁸¹ *Ibid*

the intellectual center of Bolivia, the cradle of liberty”⁸² This celebratory feel runs throughout this publication and in the concluding poem, written by N.D Morales, the Potosi residents express their thanks directly to the city of Sucre.

Throughout this poem, the excellence and greatness of the city of Sucre is celebrated in a highly artistic manner. The poem is designed as an offer of thanks to the city of Sucre, and throughout the stanzas there are numerous references to the greatness of Sucre and the ways the Sucre elite gave rise to the Bolivian nation. The author credits Sucre with being the “only teacher that awoke the intelligence of Bolivia.”⁸³ Further, Morales claims that heroes and geniuses left the city of Sucre to ennoble the historical fatherland.⁸⁴ This representation of Sucre is in line with the Conservative elite’s nation building project. Potosi intellectuals represented themselves as the faithful brothers of Sucre, and they concurred with this telling of Bolivian history. The agreement between Potosi and Sucre aligns with the interpretation that Bolivia has traditionally united along regional axes throughout their history, with Potosi and Sucre forming one of the most long standing regional alliances.⁸⁵ However, this example shows that the Sucre origin story of Bolivia resonated with at least some other members of the valley regions of Bolivia.

The elite of Sucre did not just restrict their discourse to the events of May 25, 1809. The Sucre elite added on to this emblematic memory and included the actions of other local independence figures as their control of the Bolivian political sphere lessened.

⁸² Centro de Estudios, Velada Literaria de 24 de Mayo de 1893: en homenaje del pueblo de Chuquisaca, (Potosi, 1893); “Documentos Históricos” *La Lucha*, December 19, 1898, p. 18

⁸³ Ibid 60

⁸⁴ IBID 60

⁸⁵ Jose Luis Roca, Fisonomia del Regionalismo Boliviano, (La Paz: Editorial Los Amigos del Libro, 1980)

Following the Liberal calls to transition the capital and the near loss of the 1892 presidential election, Conservatives in Sucre began to incorporate non-Sucre residents into their Bolivian origin story. Since the Bolivian independence struggle lasted over fifteen years, there were numerous heroic exploits by valley residents that could become valuable memories for the Sucre elite to add to their discourse. As conflict began to become more open between La Paz and Sucre, the Conservative elite started to include other figures like Juana Azurduy and Jose Vicente Camargo into their Bolivian origin story. These figures did not fit the standard profile of the ideal Chuquisaca independence war figure. The gender of Juana Azurduy and the questionable racial background of Camargo posed problems for the literate elite of Sucre's political project, but they needed other historical figures to emphasize the glory of their region. As Liberal power grew, Conservatives needed to attract a larger support base to back their political project. As a result, Azurduy and Camargo were included into the canon of independence heroes; however, Sucre elite went to great lengths to explain away a number of their physical and cultural characteristics. These two figures were known to be mestizos, and had a number of indigenous traits in their personal stories. This directly contradicted the Sucre elite's desired narration of Bolivian history, but the tense political climate pushed the Conservatives to adopt and racially redeem these independence heroes.

In need of more cultural images to support their Bolivian origin story following the demands by the Liberal Party, the Sucre elite turned to the figure of Jose Vicente Camargo to narrate their nation building project. In the city of Sucre in 1895, Carlos V. Romero published a short biography of this "anonymous hero" of the independence struggle. Romero states that his goal in producing this short biography was to introduce

the heroics of Camargo to the general public of Bolivia.⁸⁶ While this choice seems in line with the other heroic figures in the Sucre discourse, Camargo is an odd choice to venerate. Camargo was not born in Chuquisaca but in the city of Chayanta in the neighboring Department of Potosi. To complicate matters further, this region is known for its large indigenous populations and its persistent culture of communal autonomy.⁸⁷ However, Camargo seems to have become an adopted Chuquisaqueno for the purposes of ennobling the history of the Bolivian capital and the department of Chuquisaca. A small town was even named after him in the early years of the republic, and Sucre elite relied on his story to narrate their goals for the future of Bolivia.

In order to make Camargo a suitable figure for veneration, the Sucre elite first had to clarify some aspects of his personal background. Due to his birthplace, some historians of the time period believed Camargo “was a rich Indian” with large tracts of land, whose success in the independence struggles was the result of his ability to recruit fellow indigenous men to the military cause.⁸⁸ Further, some argued that Camargo was a native Quechua language speaker. However, according to Romero and other Sucre writers none of this was true. Through their telling, “Camargo was not an Indian, nor was he an owner of large or small tracts of land. He had virtues and attributes which gave him his own value, an intrinsic importance. These values constituted his true personality.”⁸⁹ Instead of attributing Camargo’s success to his indigenous heritage, Romero and other Sucre elite claimed that Camargo’s success was due to his own physical and intellectual

⁸⁶ Romero, *Apuntes Biográficos del Coronel José Vicente Camargo*, p.II

⁸⁷ Platt, *Estado Boliviano y Ayllu Andino*, pp. 23-35

⁸⁸ Romero, *Apuntes Biográficos del Coronel José Vicente Camargo*, p. 1

⁸⁹ Romero, *Apuntes Biográficos del Coronel José Vicente Camargo*, p. 2

attributes. These positive attributes were not a consequence of his indigenous heritage, but of his European characteristics and his enlightened ideas.

In this era of eugenics and scientific racism, Camargo's successful attributes were associated not with his Indigenous heritage but with his strong moral fortitude, numerous virtues, and his European racial characteristics.⁹⁰ In his physical description of Camargo, Romero noted that the independence war leader was "of the Spanish race, with some mixture of Quechua blood. He was regular sized, wide shouldered, and well proportioned...in the characteristics of his personality, moral and material strength, constant energy, determination and valor made him one of the martyrs and obscure heroes of Alto-Peru. Sadly, he was sacrificed for the grand cause of the independence of South America."⁹¹ This hagiographic description of Camargo emphasizes his European heritage over his indigenous background, and it shows the association between physical and mental characteristics. Camargo is clearly of the Spanish race due to his good proportions, broad shoulders, and strong and expressive gaze. However, it was not just these characteristics that made Camargo worth celebrating. According to Romero, Camargo's heroics in the independence war were also a direct result of his great leadership abilities.

In addition to his European heritage, Romero suggested that Camargo was an important and successful independence caudillo because he was very skilled at gaining the support of the indigenous masses. Romero claimed that Camargo was "a notable public speaker, whose eloquence impassioned his listeners and had particular influence

⁹⁰ Other scholars have noted how education and cultural characteristics can stand in for racial categories-see Marisol De La Cadena, Indigenous Mestizos: The Politics of Race and Culture in Cuzco, Peru, 1919-1991 (Durham & London: Duke University Press, 2000)

⁹¹ Romero, Apuntes Biográficos del Coronel José Vicente Camargo, p. 1

over the indigenous race.”⁹² The key to Camargo’s public oratory was his vast knowledge of Quechua, which he spoke perfectly. Camargo’s understanding of the indigenous languages was so vast that he “was familiar with the superstitious beliefs of the Indian; their wishes, passions and interests.”⁹³ This knowledge of the indigenous worldview allowed Camargo to convince “the Indians...to leave their tranquil lives to which they were accustomed, and they enlisted themselves in the lines of the independence movements.”⁹⁴ Through this description of Camargo, Romero is able to explain the success of the independence figure by his knowledge, eloquence, and charisma instead of his associations with the Indigenous race.

Camargo was not an ideal candidate for the Sucre elite to venerate in their historical discourses; however, the political climate at the time required Sucre elite to make stronger justifications for their continued power. As seen in the previous chapter, the La Paz elite were crafting their own narratives about the history of Bolivia. The highland narratives challenged the significance of the May 25 uprising, and they claimed that an important component to Bolivian history arose in the Americas and not in European ancestry. To combat these new intellectual challenges, and to strengthen their claim to political power they chose a figure like Camargo to further show the importance of the valley regions in the history of Bolivia. While Camargo might not resemble the creole independence figures of Lemoine and Zudáñez, the narrative that Romero told about the independence leader is quite familiar.

⁹² Ibid p. 2

⁹³ Ibid p.2

⁹⁴ Ibid p. 2

Through a deconstruction of Romero's biography, it is clear that he is using strategies similar to those employed in the other independence narratives of the epoch. Romero tries to show the more European and modern characteristics of Camargo throughout his description of the independence hero. Although Camargo is not educated in the University of San Francisco Javier in Sucre, the oldest university on the South American continent, the author tries to show that the hero is an eloquent and bi-lingual speaker who understands the political implications surrounding the independence struggle. Further, Camargo fits neatly into the paternalistic model espoused by Conservative elite in both their historical texts and their political agenda. These narrative descriptions of independence leaders were the common tropes of the era. As seen in the Camargo case study, the basic form of the narrative remains the same even when the historical character does not fit as neatly into the Sucre elite's preferred telling of history.⁹⁵ However, Camargo was included to this national discourse in an effort to increase support for the Conservative Party. A racially ambiguous mestizo, who notably had a great understanding of indigenous culture, would potentially resonate with a much broader audience than the Doctors and Lawyers who participated in the May 25th uprising.

The case study of Juana Azurduy further illustrates the continuities across the independence discourses of the Conservative elite; however, the Azurduy case differs in important ways from Lemoine, Zudáñez, and Camargo because of her gender. Azurduy is a well-studied independence figure and multiple scholars have unveiled her heroic

⁹⁵ For more discussion on deconstruction and narrative form in historical discourses see: Hayden White, The Content of the Form: Narrative Discourse and Historical Representation (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1990)

actions under the command of Manuel Belgrano in the battles for independence for Alto-Peru.⁹⁶ These works have shown that her indigenous language skills and persistent personality were key to gaining indigenous support for some of Belgrano's victories.⁹⁷ However, her specific contributions are not elaborated upon in the historical writings of the Conservative Sucre elite.

In the years leading up to the federal revolution, when Conservative political power and the location of the capital came under fire, Conservative elite began to recognize Azurduy as a "glorious heroine," but her memory was still not appropriated as one of the primary figures to represent the nation building project of Sucre.⁹⁸ One of the brief mentions of her indicates that her military career started during a battle in Pitantora, and that she later distinguished herself during combat at Viluma where she led a battalion.⁹⁹ However, her actions are constantly framed as actions in connection to her husband's, Manuel de Padilla, death. By framing her actions as taking up the cause of a fallen spouse, Azurduy's political motives are silenced in these accounts. In addition to this gendered framing, there is no mention of her vital organizing efforts in the build up to the important independence battles. Perhaps this rather limited elaboration upon the actions of Azurduy is the result of her ill fit into the narrative tropes of the Conservative Party. While her actions could easily fit into the model of the Conservative's discourses on independence, the Conservative elite could not imagine a woman serving the role of a

⁹⁶ John Charles Chasteen, *Americanos: Latin America's Struggle for Independence* (New York and Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2009); Pacho O'Donnell, *Juana Azurduy* (Buenos Aires: Editorial Planeta, 1994); Violeta G. Herrero, *Una Nueva Mirada Sobre Juana Azurduy de Padilla* (Sucre: Centro Juana Azurduy, 2004)

⁹⁷ Chasteen, p. 80, pp. 103-104

⁹⁸ Centro de Estudios, *Velada Literaria de 24 de Mayo de 1893: en homenaje del pueblo de Chuquisaca*, (Potosi, 1893); "Documentos Históricos" *La Lucha*, December 19, 1898

⁹⁹ *Ibid*, p. 38

patriotic leader for the indigenous majority. As a result of her gender, the elite of Sucre chose not to glorify her actions in as much detail in the years leading up to the war. However, the Conservative writers did use her historical exploits to discuss race in Bolivia.

While Azurduy's gender precluded full inclusion into the historical and political discourses of the Conservative elite, the writers did weigh in on her racial status in their publications. According to one historian of the era who spent much of his life in the city of Sucre, Azurduy was a mestiza, but "in this degree of cross-mixture the Adalucian tint predominated over the indigenous coloring."¹⁰⁰ This quote was republished by the Sucre city council in their effort to uncover the origins of the independence movements, and it marks one of the two references to Azurduy in the entire volume.¹⁰¹ Throughout both of these brief descriptions, Azurduy is an inheritor of the legacies of the preceding May 25, 1809 uprising but it is important for them to justify her racial status. Azurduy was appropriated by the Conservative elite in order to increase support for their political platforms, and it seems that women gravitated to the Azurduy figure since a women's society chose to name their organization after the independence figure during this era. However, Conservative's concluded that Azurduy could not become the major figure due to her gender.

These descriptions of Azurduy reveal the Conservative elite's ambivalent stance towards her as a local symbol prior to the Federal Revolution of 1899. Unlike Camargo, Azurduy could not serve as a representational figure for the future of Bolivia. Her gender and her questionable racial status did not suit the goals of the Conservative Party.

¹⁰⁰ Gabriel Rene Moreno, *Matanzas de Yáñez*, (Sucre, 1885), p. 350

¹⁰¹ Valentín Abecia Baldivieso, *Reseña histórica del 25 de mayo de 1809 en Sucre, capital de Bolivia*, p.49

However, the Conservatives still made sure to discuss her racial make-up. The Conservative's stance was ambiguous because Azurduy was mestiza and they could not entirely contest that fact. Further, a mestiza or a racially ambiguous figure, that might resonate with indigenous and mestiza citizens. Sensing this the Conservative Party used her memory to serve the political goal of gaining more support for their political project. Therefore, the Conservatives acknowledged her mixed race status but emphasized her European traits in a similar fashion as their approach to Camargo. However, the Conservative elite never explicitly supported a platform of mestizaje similar to those seen in other countries in Latin America.¹⁰² Throughout their discourses the elite maintained an ambiguous stance towards race, except their consistent favoring of creoles or whitened mestizos. This allowed them to create a nation narrative that appeared more inclusive, while still maintaining their goal of keep political power in the hands of the Conservative Sucre elite.

When discussing race, Conservatives often acknowledged that Bolivians could not easily claim a pure European heritage, and they chose instead to discuss racial categories through the ways that peoples adhered to European conceptions of progress. Throughout their discourses the elite of Sucre admitted that "Quechua blood might circulate in our veins," but they did not accept European notions that meant that they were degenerate because of their indigenous heritage.¹⁰³ Instead, the Sucre elite questioned whether racial categories were even applicable to their region and people. Describing the racial makeup of their department a local elite suggested "the predominate

¹⁰² José Vasconcelos, *La Raza Cómica*, (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1997)

¹⁰³ La Sociedad Geográfica Sucre, "Origen de Hombre Americano-Idiomas Primitivas," *Boletín de la Sociedad Geográfica Sucre*, Issue 13 (Sucre, 1899), p.43

racas, if different groups of the Bolivian populations can be called races, by comparison of their different characteristics between them, they are distinguished some from others. These include the White race, the mestizo race, the Quechua race, and the Guarani race. The first two races live in urban centers and have a marked tendency to absorb the other races. The other two races live in rural areas like Tomina, Cinti, Yamapaez and Acero.”¹⁰⁴ This stance on race at once reaffirms a racial hierarchy that places whites and mestizos above the indigenous, and it reinforces the idea that urban living was a sign of progress, while also questioning the validity of strict racial categories. The concept of race was still predominate in this era and Sucre elite were trying to negotiate their place within the new classification system. As a result, the Sucre elite remained ambiguous on their racial project while still emphasizing the virtues and desirability of European cultural traits and lighter skin. This response to European racial categories was in effect a Sucre version of eugenics, which suggested that indigenous men and women could be absorbed or redeemed through a process of paternalistic tutelage and the adoption of a modern, urban lifestyle. In this way, indigenous men and women in Bolivia could become valuable citizens like their mestizo forbearers Azurduy and Camargo.

Through the historical symbols of Lemoine, Zudáñez, Azurduy, and Camargo the Conservative elite of Sucre were able to provide a justification for the glorious heritage for their region and their capital city. Grafted onto these glorifying narratives were echoes of their party’s political project and their intentions for the Bolivia’s future. Through these historical figures, the Conservatives were able to propose their political agenda and to take important public stances on the “Indian question” of the time period.

¹⁰⁴ La Sociedad Geográfica Sucre, Diccionario Geográfico de Sucre, (Sucre, 1903) p. Xi

Their discourses were often ambiguous on the exact racial status of their region, but they were never vague on who should lead the Bolivian nation. While giving extended explanations for indigenous blood, the Conservatives never endorsed a plan of mestizaje or a redemption project for a downtrodden but previously prestigious indigenous populous. Instead, Conservatives in Sucre attached their vision of Bolivia's future to their city's heroic actions in the independence wars against Spain. This public discourse allowed the Conservatives in Sucre to justify their political power and to contest the political maneuvering of the Liberals from the highlands. Furthermore, it legitimized the city of Sucre as capital of the Bolivian nation and claimed that it should continue in this role due to its long history of patriotic leadership.

Although this telling of Bolivian history might seem to have little resonance with a country that is made up a majority of indigenous groups and a region where mestizos and indigenous citizens were prevalent, residents of Sucre took up arms in the 1899 Federal Revolution to support their region and the Conservative Party's political project.

Although the war ended after only a few months, the wounds to the regions' prestige would not heal immediately. The loss of the seat of government and the forfeiture of political power enraged Sucre residents. So even though the nation officially reconciled after the conflict, following the war Sucre residents retained a sense of superiority based on the Conservative elite's depictions of their glorified past.

CHAPTER 4

“SOY SUCRENSE’: A RECONCILIATION OR AN UNEASY PEACE?

In December of 1898, crowds of Sucre residents gathered in the city streets to give a celebratory sendoff for the local Conservative troops. The young soldiers were marching out to contest the growing uprising in the highland regions and to reunite a Bolivia being torn apart by regional interests. It was a festive atmosphere for the Sucre residents and the soldiers of the “25 de Mayo” and “Sucre” squadrons. According to the later recollections of one Sucre resident, there was even the sound of music ringing in Sucre as “the youth of Chuquisaca, after an emotional sendoff given by the city of Sucre, exited the city happy and confident, singing local anthems in Quechua and Spanish, as is the custom in the town.”¹⁰⁵ Confident and supportive of the Conservatives’ nation building project that emphasized the greatness of their city, the young soldiers of Chuquisaca had little idea that many of them would never see their hometown again.

¹⁰⁵ “Recuerdos de Antaño”. *Boletín de la Sociedad Geográfica e Histórica* (Sucre, 1947).

A few months after this festive sendoff, the soldiers from Chuquisaca were defeated in the short but bloody Federal Revolution, which ushered the Bolivian nation into a new era. After the Liberal military forces and the Aymara troops of Pablo “Zarate” Willka defeated the Conservative troops, the Liberal Party inherited a tense cultural atmosphere and a fractured national body.¹⁰⁶ Following the war, the Liberal Party was faced with the challenge of reincorporating the region of Chuquisaca into the national framework. The traditional historical interpretation of the post Federal Revolution era in Chuquisaca suggests that the Conservatives and Liberals reconciled over their shared fear of a race war and together they villainized the Aymara for their participation in the Federal Revolution.¹⁰⁷ This supposed agreement allowed the two political parties to reconcile quickly after the war and continue the proposed modernizing agendas of the Bolivian elite; however, the extent of this reconciliation has been vastly overstated by scholarship.¹⁰⁸

While the elite of the two regions concurred on the status of Aymara groups at the bottom of Bolivia’s social hierarchy, the reconciliation process was not an “elite consensus.”¹⁰⁹ The Federal Revolution left Chuquisaca residents with both physical and emotional scars that were not easily forgiven. As a result of these contentious feelings, the new Liberal Party leaders from the Highland region accepted a partial reconciliation with the conquered people of the Chuquisaca region and the Conservative Party. In order to achieve a degree of political stability and a functional national environment, the

¹⁰⁶ Kuenzli, Acting Inca; Thomson and Hylton, Revolutionary Horizons; Morales, Pablo Zarate Willka; Chusicanqui, Oppressed but not Defeated.

¹⁰⁷ Hylton and Thomson, Revolutionary Horizons;

¹⁰⁸ Reconciliation entre las elites., Hylton and Thompson, Revolutionary Horizons, p.58

¹⁰⁹ Hylton and Thomson, Revolutionary Horizons, p. 58

Liberal Party tacitly allowed for Chuquisaca's local cultural practices to continue in return for a peaceful political reconciliation.

As a result, the city of Sucre was able to maintain their Sucre-centered narration of Bolivian history in the years following the Federal Revolution, and it began to shift slightly to focus more on the return of the capital to its rightful location. Sucre writers continued to use independence memory to assert Sucre exceptionalism within the Bolivian nation, and these memories became a key source for demanding the return of the capital to Sucre. In their efforts to achieve political reconciliation, the Liberal Party even helped to fund some of these memory discourses, as seen in the public monuments constructed in the decade after 1899. However, this lax approach to Sucre cultural projects had unintended consequences and helped to foster a long running regional rivalry that remains very much alive in modern Sucre.

As the opening vignette of this chapter suggests, the residents of Sucre were confident and proud as the conflict quickly escalated to full blown Civil war. In an already tense national atmosphere, a series of political debates, culminated by a November congressional motion to again move the seat of government to La Paz, pushed the Chuquisaca region into battle with the highland regions in 1898. The November legislation did not sit well with Sucre residents, who had been exposed to ten years of public discourse designed to defend the capital's continuation in the city of Sucre. One newspaper report accurately shows how citizens repurposed the Conservative Party's political tropes in a letter to the editor. This enraged Sucre citizen passionately wrote "it is not just, nor patriotic, to want to take the glorious title of capital of the republic from Sucre, that was conferred to the city by the Congresses of 1825, 1826, 1839, and 1843,

which were composed up of the most honorable and presigious people that the nation has seen.”¹¹⁰ This instance clearly shows the impact that the Conservative Party’s public discourses had on the literate elite of Sucre, and it helps to explain the eagerness with which the residents went to war for the Conservative cause. Conservative discourse truly resonated with the residents of Sucre, and they felt that their region was home to the most honorable and presitigious people in the Bolivian nation. Sucre was exceptional in Bolivia, and the new elite of La Paz could never be as well suited to run the National government.

The Conservative Party’s public discourse was truly powerful as it created broad support for Sucre in the capitalia debate and shaped Sucre residents’ personal identities. Sucre residents truly took the Conservative Party’s discourse to heart, and they embraced the narrative of their city’s past. During this era, one newspaper started to “suggest the adoption of the phrase ‘I am Sucrense.’”¹¹¹ According to this publication, the phrase “I am Sucrense” would help to signify the positive aspects of the city and it’s residents. These traits of Sucre include the “culture, tolernece, and illustration, in short the very essence of what it meant to be Chuquisacaqueno”.¹¹² These chararcteristics” which are associated with being born in Sucre and allow her residents to be esteemed in whatever part of the world.”¹¹³ As this letter to the editor shows, this Sucre resident endorsed and reappropriated the Conservative Party’s political and historical discourses. The unnamed journalist noted that being Sucrense earned the bearer of the title a privledged status no matter where the Sucre resident traveled. Being from Sucre was an important component

¹¹⁰ *El Eco de Los Libres*, November 18, 1898. Padilla, Bolivia, P.1, ABNB #290

¹¹¹ “Soy Sucrense” *El Buen Sentido*, Sucre, January 11, 1899 ABNB

¹¹² The actual translation is “no se que”

¹¹³ IBID p. 2

to their Sucre residents' identity and it had important cultural currency to be able to claim this Sucre background. Further, this elite status helped to justify their demand for the full seat of government. The Conservative discourse had attached their citizens prestige to the demand that Sucrenses were the most qualified to lead the Bolivian nation.

However, this title and status were also worth fighting for if the town felt disrespected. As the writer stated, "I am a Sucrenses and because of this I am going to combat for the country in which I was born and saw the first light of day. Another city, full of pride, has provoked me into a mortal fight. I am a Sucrenses, which is to say, I was born in the capital of Bolivia and for this I am going to fight against the ferocious Pacenos, who want to take the Capital by force and without more cause than their own audacity."¹¹⁴ This Sucrenses relied heavily on the same tropes as the Conservative Party's public discourse and he chose to fight for his city and the Conservative Party's legitimate role defined by the city's role as capital of Bolivia. . In his view, "Sucrenses" were exceptional for their positive characteristics, glorious independence history, and "their essence," and it was unpatriotic for highland residents to audaciously challenge Bolivia's aristocratic Sucre residents by attempting to take the capital away from its rightful home.

Numerous other residents of Chuquisaca felt that the Conservative cause was something important enough to fight for. People of disparate classes rallied around the Conservative Party. A wider support base was necessary in a war against the highland region with a larger population of largely dissatisfied Bolivians. However, the Conservatives did gain a broad support base and soldiers of different classes appear to have participated in the conflict. According to one newspaper, a squadron of students and

¹¹⁴ IBID p. 1

a squadron of “distinguished artisans” left the city “to fight in defense of the nation, for the union of Bolivia and for the capital.”¹¹⁵ This brief reference indicates that there was a class or racial division between these two squadrons, since the newspaper reporter clearly notes a differentiation between the two groups. However, the writer is careful not to assign racial or ethnic markers to the soldiers. Even in the cases where it was noted that the soldiers sang in quichua, the author refers to the soldiers as the “youth of Chuquisaca” instead of using overt racial markers. This reflects the continued efforts to try to remain ambiguous on racial categories of their region, and their ultimate goal of creating a united front around the practice of defending the capital.¹¹⁶

Further, there is some evidence to suggest the Sucre specific independence discourse was so compelling that members of the Liberal Party chose to fight with the Conservatives in order to support their region. As a Liberal newspaper in Sucre claimed, “in all the youth that have marched to battle from the city of Sucre, it can be calculated that more than fifty percent were members of the Liberal Party.”¹¹⁷ While the newspaper strongly supported the Liberal Party’s political platform, the writer suggests that the Conservative’s Sucre centered discourse convinced some young Liberals to fight for their city instead of for their political party.¹¹⁸ This publication even suggested that the war “became rapidly regional.”¹¹⁹ These regional alliances overcame political divisions to such an extent that a few historians have labeled the Federal Revolution the War between La Paz and Chuquisaca.¹²⁰ While the newspaper was obviously overstating this claim, it

¹¹⁵ El eco de los libres, Año 1 Jan 16 1899 p.2 ABNB 290

¹¹⁶ Ibid p.2

¹¹⁷ El Eco de los libres No. 29 p. 2 January 16 1899. ABNB 290

¹¹⁸ *La Lucha: Órgano del Partido Liberal*, May 7, 1899, Sucre, p. 1 ANB

¹¹⁹ *La Lucha: Órgano del Partido Liberal*, May 7 1899, Sucre p.2 ANB

¹²⁰ Luis Antezana Ergueta, *La Guerra entre La Paz y Chuquisaca 1899* (La Paz: H Municipalidad, 1999)

does show that the entire city of Sucre was enthralled by the demand to keep the capital and many honestly believed they had a right to retain political power for their region. At the least, Liberal elite in Sucre had to publish accounts which suggested that their party would fight for their town over their political ideologies whether this was accurate or not. Despite the confidence and widespread support of Sucre residents, the war effort ended disastrously for the Conservative forces. The cross party and cross class military coalition supporting the Conservative Party and the city of Sucre was unable to contend with the Liberal armies during the early months of 1899. As multiple scholars have recounted, the Liberal military forces of General Pando and his vital alliance with the Aymara forces led by Pablo “Zarate” Willka, the principal Aymara community leader involved in the 1899 Federal Revolution, outmaneuvered and overwhelmed President Alonso’s Conservative troops.¹²¹ The conflict which lasted from December 1898 to April 1899 culminated in a number of highly publicized and bloody massacres.

As word of these military defeats reached the city in February and March of 1899, Sucre residents reacted emotionally. The Sucre newspapers of the era depicted the Conservative defeats in a tragic light that emphasized the unjustified victimization of Chuquisaca youth at the hands of savage highland residents. In particular, the writers emphasized the greatness and aristocratic nature of the Chuquisaca youth, in order to reinforce the Conservatives claim that Sucre was the legitimate and only justified political center of the Bolivian nation. The discourse depicted Chuquisaca’s youth sacrificing themselves for a just cause, and a barbarous enemy from the highlands that wanted to unjustifiably usurp political power. Narrating the military massacres of the

¹²¹ Kuenzli, *Acting Inca*; Hylton and Thomson, *Revolutionary Horizons*

Conservative forces, one Sucre writer described the Conservative troops as “our noble sons, the greatest of the youth, the aristocracy of the society, the aristocracy of talent, that is also the aristocracy of valor, has been put to the test and has received a baptism of blood.”¹²² Further, the writers continue to compare the Chuquisaca youth to Europeans. This was a strategy to endow the Chuquisaca residents with a claim to status that adheres to the theories of scientific racism that supported whiteness, European modernity, and progress. The journalists depictions relied on famous European mythology and history to talk about the battles in Bolivia. Therefore writers noted that Chuquisaca residents, “they have fought like the Spartans of Leonidas, they have given themselves to the sacrifice and it is sad to say they have been victimized.”¹²³ This discursive strategy endowed all Sucre residents with this a perceived exceptionalism and reveals the continuation of their defense of Sucre as the rightful capital of Bolivia.

This narrative trope of unwarranted victimization was made all the more powerful when it was juxtaposed with very different descriptions of the Liberal troops. While Conservative forces were portrayed as groups of innocent youths, the Liberal forces were characterized by their ferocity and barbarism. Throughout the published reports in Sucre, the Conservative forces were contending with “the ferocious people from La Paz.”¹²⁴ According to the descriptions, their ferocity came from the large number of Aymara troops and their general lack of civilization. Therefore, the innocent youths of Chuquisaca were suddenly put into a fight to the death between vastly different peoples. According to one Sucre newspaper report, “the war was between a barbarous element and

¹²² *El Eco de los libros*, Feb 7, 1899, Sucre p.1, ABNB 290

¹²³ *IBID* p.1

¹²⁴ *Ibid* p. 2

an element of civilization. These barbarous people cannot overcome their origin and their race. They may feign manners of civilization but their ferocious instincts always arise due to their racial background.”¹²⁵ This vicious fight to the death did not end well for the “civilized element” of the Bolivian nation as the Conservative forces were massacred by the “indigenous tribes that continue vigorously conducting violence in the street and each day more monstrous victimizations are committed by this race.”¹²⁶ As these depictions reveal, the war actually intensified the number of publications that emphasized the greatness and exceptionalism of Sucre residents. This intensification of the discourse depicted La Paz residents in a racialized and inferior light in order to continue their argument that the highland region did not have a justifiable claim to political power or the capital’s location.

These depictions continued to represent Sucre as the enlightened and progressive region of Bolivia, while painting the highland region as the indigenous and monstrous rival. In effect, this discourse was designed to argue that the center of government did not belong in the highland region. Sucre was the cultural and historical center of the Bolivian nation; therefore, they had the only legitimate right to political power. The Conservative Party’s political platforms before the war had a strong resonance with the citizens of Sucre who were willing to fight for their city and their supposed claim to Sucre status. As the war effort began to fail and military defeats accumulated, the Conservatives officially conceded defeat in April of 1899 and Sucre residents were left to make sense of their numerous losses. While the citizens of Sucre may have experienced a blow to their

¹²⁵ *El Buen Sentido*, Feb. 16, 1899, Sucre, p.1

¹²⁶ *El Eco del Sud*, Marzo 16 de 1899, Sucre No. 2 ano 1 p.1

prestige, their public writings continued to emphasize similar disursive tropes about their enlightened city.

Following their bloody defeats, the citizens of Sucre were not eager to reconcile with their opponents from La Paz. However, the war had caused massive changes in the Bolivian political sphere, and the military defeats harmed the prestige of general Sucre residents. The residents had embraced the invented traditions claiming Sucre exceptionalism, but this imagined exceptionalism had not helped them in the military campaign. Now the Liberals held political power, and the highlands were now home to the economic and political cores of the Bolivian nation. Despite their formal military surrender, the residents of Sucre and the Conservative Party had not completely abandoned their political goals. In the years following the war, Sucre residents continued to espouse militaristic threats and propaganda celebrating their city's greatness through their independence past.

As their military losses mounted, Conservatives started to realize that oligarchic control of Bolivian politics was coming to an end and they began debating their future options. Conservative leaders knew the Liberals' victory would usher in a new era for the Bolivian nation and they were particularly concerned with the debates over the Nation's new system of government and the location of the capital. The Liberal Party had demanded a federalist governmental system in the years leading up to the Civil war in reaction to the opulent spending in Sucre and the valley regions. In the months following the war, these questions would be resolved in a national convention.

Sucre residents were strongly against the federalist system proposed by La Paz residents, and they desired the continuation of a centralized state government based out of Sucre.

Conservatives worried that La Paz residents would take advantage of their military victory during the national convention and craft legislation to suit their “local passions and demands.”¹²⁷ In particular, they worried that the Liberals would “impose on the Bolivian nation, a form of government contrary to the one that has ruled the country, since it was founded and constituted by the immortal liberators Bolivar and Sucre.”¹²⁸ Once again, this contestation of Liberal Party advances relies on claims to independence figures like Bolivar and Sucre to contest changes in the Bolivian politics. The writer claims that the Liberals are trying to impose an incorrect form of government on a Bolivian nation that was founded by enlightened leaders who had chosen the city of Sucre to lead a centralized Bolivian nation. Their historically grounded claim to governmental control was established by historical memory of the founding fathers, and the new highland elite did not have a right to make these changes to the Bolivian nation. As these proposals became more likely, Sucre residents started to question what steps their region should take in the event that Liberals moved the capital to La Paz and instituted a Federalist system. While there was certainly talk of tacit acceptance, there were also calls for separation from the Bolivian nation. Taking the capital debate as their last symbolic claim to political power, a Sucre newspaper claimed “La Paz wants to be capital of Bolivia, and...never will it be the capital. We would prefer to annex our region to another Nation.”¹²⁹ While this threat may have been made in the heat of a tense political moment, there is sufficient evidence to suggest that this was a real possibility. La Paz residents were highly concerned with the threats and took great strides to ease

¹²⁷ *El Eco del Sur*, May 5, 1899 no.1 p.2

¹²⁸ *El Eco del Sur*, May 5, 1899 no. 1 p.2

¹²⁹ *El Buen Sentido* February 28, 1899 No. 9

tensions in the aftermath of the war in order to avoid a fragmentation of the Bolivian nation.

The Liberals realized that the reconstruction of Bolivia would be challenging following the bloody civil war, and they crafted a policy that allowed for the best reconciliation possible. The new Liberal government understood that Sucre residents were enraged by their loss of family members, political power, and local prestige during the Federal Revolution. Furthermore, the Liberals realized the powerful Conservative discourse involving Sucre's history that the party had attached their platform. Liberals saw this propaganda as false and tried to tactfully undermine it by refuting the Conservative Party's claims to the capital. In particular, the Liberals attempted to claim the only reason the capital debate had even arisen was due to the Conservative Party's poor leadership. In a Liberal leaning newspaper of Sucre, a writer claimed that the Conservative Party had misrepresented the debate over the right to house the capital in order to maintain their political power. According to this writer, "that which seems most incredible, is that the Conservatives want to take the Capitalia question as their talking point, with the most absolute lack of logic and with malicious misrepresentation of past events. The only ones that have comprised the capital for the city of Sucre...are the Conservatives."¹³⁰ The Liberals understood that the debate held powerful sway over the residents of Sucre, and they tried to separate the Conservative Party's political cause from the debate over the capital's location. The Liberals suggested that the Conservative led central government was incompetent, and they argued that the new economic elite of the highlands were better suited to run the country under a federalist system. However, this

¹³⁰ *Boletín de Variedades* April 28, 1899 no.2 PBCH 295 ABNB

was not entirely convincing to the residents of Sucre who continued to associate the Liberal Party with highland residents' claims for political power.

The Liberal political leaders realized the only way to ensure a workable national reconciliation was to allow for some political and cultural concessions. Following the war, the Liberal Party transitioned the seat of government to La Paz but allowed the city of Sucre to retain the title of Capital and the judicial branch of government. The debate over the capital was too contentious for the Liberal Party to just transfer the capital to La Paz, so they allowed the city of Sucre to retain the nominal title. Throughout their published documents, the Liberal Party still referred to Sucre as the capital of the Bolivian nation. In their important National Census of 1900, which represented the party's newly gained control over the Bolivian nation, the Liberal leaders even acknowledged the congressional acts of early governments that named Sucre the capital of Bolivia.¹³¹ Furthermore, they allowed the Sucre residents to continue using the Capital title for their city. Throughout the published documents in the years following 1899, Sucre residents noted that their city was the capital of Bolivia. This includes the 1903 Geographic dictionary of Sucre, which listed its publication location as "Sucre, Capital of the Republic."¹³² As these examples show, the Liberal Party decided that allowing some concessions was better than risking the annexation of part of the Bolivian nation. However, the Liberal Party was forced to make more long lasting cultural concessions to ensure peace in the aftermath of the war despite their superficial efforts to enforce National unity.

¹³¹ Censo tomo II p.XXX

¹³² Diccionario Geográfico del Departamento de Chuquisaca, 1903

The Liberal Party took a number of other steps to ensure the reconciliation between the two regions including sending good will ambassadors to the region. In 1902, the Archbishop of La Paz, Nicolas Armentia came to Sucre on a peace keeping mission. According to newspaper reports of the visit, the Archbishop “brought words of peace to Sucre” and tried to foster brotherhood between “two children of the same mother.”¹³³ The Archbishop emphasized the similarities between the two regions and chastized the citizens of Sucre for their “recent passions” that had prevented the peace talks between the two regions.¹³⁴ It seems as though the words of the Archbishop also did not resonate with the people of Sucre, since the following year the Liberal Party attempted to enact legislation asserting National control over the civic holidays in Bolivia.

This 1903 congressional legislation made August 6th, the day that the Bolivian nation was officially founded, the only legal civic holiday in the Bolivian nation.¹³⁵ Further, the legislation explicitly noted that other “municipalities are not authorized to declare holidays.”¹³⁶ Considering the tense national atmosphere and the symbolic importance of the May 25th uprising for the Conservatives in Sucre, it seems likely that this piece of legislation was intended to both extend the power of the Liberal Party’s government and also intended to put a stop to some of the Sucre residents regionally specific celebrations. However, this piece of legislation was enacted in name only and scholars have noted that the edict was not enforced with much regularity in the early twentieth century.¹³⁷ Therefore, on surface-level this law appears to be an attempt to

¹³³ *La Semana: Revista Social Y de Letras* no. 8 March 2, 1902 p.1

¹³⁴ IBID.

¹³⁵ Decreto Supremo de 19 de Septiembre 1903-Articulo 1

¹³⁶ Decreto Supremo de 19 de Septiembre 1903-Articulo 2

¹³⁷ Laura Gotkowitz, *A Revolution For Our Rights: Indigenous Struggles for Land and Justice in Bolivia, 1880-1952* (Durham and London: Duke University Press, 2007) p. 180

enforce a shared, invented tradition, but in practice the regional celebration of May 25th continued to live on in Sucre.

If there were efforts to enforce this legislation, they do not seem to have had much impact in the city of Sucre where celebrations of the May 25th uprising and local independence figures continued unabated following the Federal Revolution. In the years following the war, the Conservative discourse about the origin of the Bolivian nation was revived by a number of local intellectual societies in the city of Sucre. These societies included the Geographical Society of Sucre and the Society Monteagudo, which was named after one of the Bolivian independence leaders. The latter society even published advertisements in papers discussing their group's mission and activities. One add suggested the Society Monteagudo was a "patriotic association that has been organized with the objective to commemorate the great festivities of May 25," and they intended to use the party's periodical, which was called *El Sucreense*, to accomplish this mission.¹³⁸ The continuation of the May 25th celebration shows that the two regions were still at odds on a number of important issues. Both of these local patriotic societies continued to espouse discourses which celebrated their region instead of the national government. Sucre was still the intellectual, cultural, and historical capital of the Bolivian nation, and they argued that it should also be the political capital of the republic. While the two regions may have worked together politically, they were still at odds and repeatedly came to blows in intellectual and cultural debates.

Notably, The two regions disagreed greatly on the findings of the 1900 Census that was carried out by the new Liberal government. According to the writers of the

¹³⁸ *El Civilista* May 20, 1900 No. 14 ano 1 p. 2

geographic dictionary written by the Geographical Society of Sucre, the Liberal Party's census had "data that was indoubtably far from exact" because "the project which was carried out was not a true census."¹³⁹ The Geographical Society of Sucre called into question the legitimacy and objectivity of the Census takers and echoed ideas that La Paz residents were not the best qualified to conduct governmental task. Instead the writer suggested that a local census conducted under Conservative supervision in 1897 was "the closest to the truth."¹⁴⁰ Importantly, this 1897 census had found that "the indigenous element was negligible in the city of Sucre."¹⁴¹ While a disagreement over census data may appear minor, it reflects the widespread conflict between the two regions and it shows the resilience of the Conservative nation building discourse. The elite of Sucre and La Paz could not even agree on the data of a census, and the ill feelings from the 1899 conflict no doubt played a role in this debate. It also clearly shows that Sucre elite critiqued the La Paz centered government by stating that they had not even conducted a census properly. Further, Sucre elite used this conflict to suggest that they were more qualified to lead governmental tasks. Throughout this critique they continued to emphasize that their city was exceptional and that it had almost no indigenous residents. While the elite of Sucre may have given up the war cause, they had not abandoned their mission to reclaim political power in Bolivia.

The Geographical Society of Sucre played an important role in this project to reclaim political power. The elite in this society produced numerous publications in order to foster the independence memories of their region and to reclaim the respect that they

¹³⁹ Diccionario Geográfico del Departamento de Chuquisaca, p. 293

¹⁴⁰ IBID p. 294

¹⁴¹ IBID p.294

had lost in the war. This Society regularly published journals on the geography, history, and culture of the department of Chuquisaca. Included in these works are dictionaries, local censuses, and history lessons often noting the actions of the region during the independence struggles. In the society's 1903 dictionary, the writers discussed the make up of their region and defined the cities, geographical landmarks, and the regions residents. In numerous definitions, the authors added historical facts about the role the region played in the independence battles against Spain. In their definition of the city of Sucre they clearly indicated the city's glorious independence past by stating "in the expressed city the first cry of independence was shout, on May 25, 1809, constituting this date the war of 17 years, that emanated South america from Spanish tuteledge."¹⁴² Furthermore, these elite continued to emphasize the centrality of the department of Chuquisaca in other independence exploits. Just as elite had before the war, the post 1899 writers used the exploits of Jose Vicente Camargo and Juana Azurduy to discuss the greatness of their region.¹⁴³ Despite official efforts to curb these regional invented traditions, the Geographical Society of Sucre continued to serve the important role of narrating the Sucre regions preferred history of Bolivia. This society, which was made up of the educated elite of Sucre, was led by Jose Valentin Abecia Ayllon. Abecia was a prominent doctor and professor in Sucre, and constantly led the Geographic Society in espousing the regions rich history.

After serving the role of disseminating local history unofficially since 1893, the men of the Geographic Society of Sucre were given the official task of commemorating Sucre's independence memory on the one hundredth anniversary of May 25, 1809. These

¹⁴² Diccionario Geográfico

¹⁴³ Diccionario Geográfico p.41, p. 140, p.354

men were asked to be in charge of “the commemoration of the Centennial of the grandest event of South American history: May 25, 1809.”¹⁴⁴ As scholars have noted, major anniversaries often intensify the political stakes for memories, and the one century celebration of May twenty fifth uprising in Sucre certainly confers this claim.¹⁴⁵ The one hundredth anniversary was an opportunity for Sucre elite to discuss the greatness of their region despite their recent defeat at the hands of the highland region in the Federal Revolution. To do this the elite from Sucre relied on the same figures from the pre-war era including Juana Azurduy, Lemoine, and Zudanez. The proposed celebration put the Liberal Party in a delicate predicament, and they chose not to enforce their legislation and they supported the Sucre celebrations.

There were a wealth of publications commemorating the one hundredth anniversary all of which continued to emphasize the exceptionalism of the city of Sucre. Elite from Sucre produced numerous publications that espoused the similar tropes of the Sucre origin story and an imagined Sucre cultural hearth. There were works recounting the biographies of Jose Joaquin de Lemoine and other independence leaders.¹⁴⁶ Furthermore, an elite women’s society, The Juana Azurduy de Padilla patriotic society of Women, published a short volume that emphasized the actions of Chuquisaca women during the independence struggles.¹⁴⁷ The volume described women’s actions in the independence struggle throughout Bolivia.. However, the most glowing descriptions were

¹⁴⁴ *Vida Nueva*, No. 6 April 2, 1905 Sociedad Geográfica

¹⁴⁵ Steve J Stern calls these events memory knots in *Remembering Pinochet’s Chile* but a number of other scholars have discussed similar phenomenon including: David Blight, *American Oracle: The Civil War in the Civil Rights Era* (Cambridge and London: The Belknap Press, 2013)

¹⁴⁶ Joaquin de Lemoine, *Biografía de Don José Joaquín de Lemoine: Homenaje al Centenario de la Independencia de América*, (Sucre, 1910)

¹⁴⁷ The Juana Azurduy de Padilla Patriotic Society of Women, *Homenaje Al Primer Centenario del 25 de Mayo de 1809*, (Sucre,1909)

reserved for the women of Chuquisaca and in particular Juana Azurduy de Padilla. The authors described Azurduy as an “exceptional heroine” who was motivated by “the idea of liberty” to leave the domestic life and serve the country.¹⁴⁸ The descriptions of Juana Azurduy de Padilla follow the basic contours of the Conservative Party discourses and the publications was intended in part to emphasize the greatness of Chuquisaca and Sucre. However, the women’s society also used this publication to emphasize their feminist ideas. This shows that while elite discourse may have a restricted goal, the discourses can be manipulated and changed due to intertextual interpretation. In this case, women’s rights can be linked to the historically dominant independence discourse in Sucre.

While these publications show the widespread celebration of the one hundredth anniversary, the most important commemoration project was the construction of a series of statues in Sucre’s main plaza. Following the direction of the original legislation of Bolivia’s early congresses, the Sucre elite decided to construct a statue of Jose Antonio de Sucre in the center of the city. This statue would be surrounded by the statues of Bernardo Monteguedo and Jaime Zudanez, two other independence figures who played prominent roles in the May 25, 1809 uprising, to completely remake the city center of Sucre. The decision to follow through on the pre-1899 plans to construct this statue reveals that the Sucre cultural project was still alive and thriving despite the loss in the Federal Revolution. In large measure, this was possible because the Liberal Party needed to make some concessions in order to ensure the peaceful reconstruction of Bolivia. When the statue of Jose Antonio de Sucre was christened on the one hundredth anniversary

¹⁴⁸ Ibid p. 21

of the May 25, 1809 uprising, La Paz sent a plaque to show their support and to try to gain the favor of Sucre resident to ensure continued peace. Revealing its true purpose and the tense atmosphere of the era the plaque represented the two cities in the form of women holding their respective city's coat of arms. The plaque showed the La Paz symbol extending an olive branch to the symbol of Sucre in an act of peace

Following the war, Sucre residents continued to hold very negative opinions of the Liberal Party and the highland region. Sucre residents were not eager to reconcile and still held strong memories of military conflict. In a graphic example, one Sucre resident stated his feelings about La Paz residents bluntly in a letter to the editor to the weekly paper *El Buen Sentido*. His letter suggested the emotional scars of war were far from resolved because “when I see a Paceno walking very confidently in Sucre, they say a person of high character for his illustration and the high social position that he occupies, I have the desire to break my cane over his head, but I contain myself because I am a Sucrense.”¹⁴⁹ These were the types of problems the newly empowered Liberal Party faced. Sucre residents were not eager to reconcile in the aftermath of the bloody war, and despite the general interpretation of subsequent scholarship an “elite consensus” could not erase all the bad feelings between the two regions.

In order to create a workable national environment, the Liberals extended an olive branch to the city of Sucre and allowed the region a degree of cultural autonomy in the aftermath of the war. The Liberal Party actively sought a full reconciliation through the villianization of the Aymaras, but this did not resolve all the two regions differences. Therefore, the Liberals allowed the city to maintain the title of capital, and they did not

¹⁴⁹ *El Buen Sentido*, March 16, 1899, p.1

enforce legislation banning the celebration of regional holidays. Instead, the Liberals in power actually supported the celebration of Sucre's preferred history of Bolivia on the 100th anniversary of the May 25, 1809 uprising. These concessions allowed the Liberal Party to create a functional national environment in which they could try to move the nation forward. Unfortunately, these concession and especially the Sucre discourse of Bolivian history had unforeseen consequences. These unresolved regional conflicts rose to the surface a century later, and this time Sucre residents were not able to contain themselves. In the twenty first century, Sucre resident carried out the physical assaults that the former Sucre elite coteplated following the Federal Revolutio

CHAPTER 5

INDEPENDENCE MEMORY AND RACIAM IN THE 21ST CENTURY

A little over a century after the Sucre writer contained his violent urges to hit a confident Paceño with his walking cane, twenty first century Sucrenses followed through on their forefather's threat of physical violence. On May 24, 2008, approximately forty indigenous supporters of Evo Morales, the recently elected indigenous president, were forced to walk shirtless to Sucre's main plaza. Underneath the statues of Bolivia's founding fathers and in front of the *Casa de La Libertad*, these indigenous men from rural Chuquisaca were beaten, taunted, and forced to burn cultural symbols of indigeneity.¹⁵⁰

This overt episode of racial violence followed contentious debates about the future of the Bolivian nation, and it was directly connected to the rejection of demands to return the seat of government to Sucre. The debate over the seat of government, called the *Capitalia Plena*, became the major symbolic issue for Sucre residents during the national assembly much like it had a century earlier during the Federal Revolution. Sucre residents published newspapers articles, wrote songs, filmed videos, and produced posters to discuss the return of the capital.

¹⁵⁰ "Sucre, Capital del Racismo," YouTube video, 4:40, posted by "Sucretino," May 26, 2008, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=J2s15Mjgn9o>,

Recent academic investigations into this episode of racial violence have noted the “paradox of history” that took place on May 24, 2008. These scholars have pondered how such a “shameful incident took place in front of the doors of the Casa de la Libertad (House of Liberty) in Sucre, where Bolivian independence was declared.”¹⁵¹ The juxtaposition of overt racial violence with a symbol of Bolivian liberty seemed to contradict the very principles on which the Bolivian nation was formed. However, this juxtaposition only seems contradictory if scholars fail to consider the ways Sucre residents have historically used memories of independence. In the city of Sucre, independence symbolism has rarely represented just liberty and freedom. Instead memory of independence has repeatedly been used to espouse “Sucrese exceptionalism” and to defend a political system that restricts the participation of large percentages of the Bolivian population. Therefore the episode of racial violence did not paradoxically take place at the foot of a site of memory of the Bolivian independence cause, but it was the most historically logical site for such an incident to take place. The city of Sucre has long used independence memory to defend their political platforms, and the May 24th incident is just the latest reincarnation of this phenomenon.

In order to truly understand “the short-term and long-term causes” of this violent act it is necessary to take seriously the local history of the city of Sucre.¹⁵² Sucrese elite have long used the memory of independence to assert and justify their political claims, and this trend dominated the discussions of the Capitalia Plena. In this chapter I will

¹⁵¹ Pamela Calla and the Research Group of the Observatorio Del Racismo, “Epilogue to ‘Transgressions and Racism’ Making Sense of May 24th in Sucre: Toward an Antiracist Legislative Agenda” in Laura Gotkowitz’s ed. Histories of Race and Racism: The Andes and Mesomerica from Colonial Times to the Present. (Durham and London: Duke University Press, 2011) p. 311

¹⁵² Ibid 312

show how the city of Sucre continued to use independence memory to define Sucrenses identity and how these tropes impacted the debates over the Capitalia Plena during the 2008 Bolivian national convention.

Despite more than a one hundred year gap between the Federal Revolution of 1899 and the 2008 episode of racial violence, the continuities in the two discourses are striking. The concessions made after the war by the victorious Liberal Party allowed for the continuation of a regional discourse of “Sucrenses Exceptionalism” narrated through the stories of Bolivian independence. This persistent historical discourse has led to feelings of superiority for Sucre residents and has manifested itself in overtly racist and violent ways. The failure to truly reconcile after the 1899 federal revolution paved the way for these later episodes of violence and racism. Therefore, the former national narrative has mutated and now serves as an important regional discourse that defines Sucre.

Following decades of neoliberal economic policy and numerous campaigns to eradicate coca leaf production, Bolivia was a nation facing massive turmoil in the first years of the twenty first century.¹⁵³ Economic policy favoring privatization significantly hurt the average Bolivian’s ability to purchase necessities like water and gasoline. Further, income sources were drying up as the Bolivian mining industries struggled and coca leaf production came under attack from the United States’ war on drugs. Under these harsh social conditions, indigenous men and women began to wage wars of their own to challenge the Bolivian national policy.¹⁵⁴ Following massive upheavals in

¹⁵³ Nancy Grey Postero, *Now We Are Citizens: Indigenous Politics in Postmulticultural Bolivia* (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2007)

¹⁵⁴ Postero, *Now We Are Citizens*; Hylton and Thomson, *Revolutionary Horizons* pp.95-127

Cochabamba over access to water and violence in the streets of La Paz, Gonzalo Sanchez de Lozada, the then President of Bolivia who supported the neoliberal policies, was forced out of office in 2003 and fled to the United States of America. After ousting the President, the Bolivian people faced another era of nation building similar to the one the nation faced following the Federal Revolution of 1899.

In an effort to build a more equitable nation, the Bolivian people demanded new elections, and in 2006 Evo Morales, a former coca leaf advocate, won the presidency with an absolute majority. This marked the first time in the Bolivian national history that a man who self-identified as indigenous would be hold the office of President. While Evo Morales' election was celebrated internationally, some portions of the Bolivian did not support Morales' presidency or the *Movement Toward Socialism (MAS)*, Morales' political party.¹⁵⁵ These opposing sides of the political debate clashed publically and the sides opposing the MAS often used racialized and racist depictions of indigeneity to condemn the new President.¹⁵⁶ In this fractured atmosphere, a diverse group of representatives came to Sucre to discuss the future of the nation and to craft a new Constitution.

On August 6, 2006 two hundred and fifty-five delegates from varied backgrounds came together in the city of Sucre to draft the nation's new constitution. The atmosphere was tense as the Constitutional Assembly would have far ranging impacts on the Bolivian people. Further, the diverse mixture of people involved in the process drew the ire of many elite Bolivians. Bolivians were unaccustomed to seeing women, indigenous

¹⁵⁵ Jeffery Webber, "Carlos Mesa, Evo Morales, and a Divided Bolivia (2003-2005)" in *Latin American Perspectives*, Vol. 37, No. 3, May 2012

¹⁵⁶ Kuenzli, *Acting Inca* p. 15

speakers, and rural community leaders deciding the future of the nation, and this resulted in conflict during the crafting of the Constitution.¹⁵⁷ As the process dragged on into 2007, opposition groups led by Sucre residents introduced a demand to return the seat of government to the city of Sucre and kicked off the *Capitalia Plena* debate. Sucre residents, and especially the students of the San Francisco Xavier University, quickly rallied around this demand and enthusiastically supported the motion to return the seat of government to Sucre.

Just as Conservatives had a century earlier, the Sucre residents relied heavily on the independence memory to justify their demands. The independence tropes continued to serve as important memories to claim a Sucrese exceptionalism and to demand respect from the rest of the Bolivian nation. Jaime Barron, the principal of the San Francisco Javier University, gave an influential speech on May 10, 2007 to kick off an important march in support of the *Capitalia plena*. Throughout his speech, employed the independence discourse to justify the city's demands and to endow the Sucrese people with a noble past. While describing the Chuquisaqueno people, Barron suggested that they "carried in their veins the blood of the first cry of independence."¹⁵⁸ In a region where purity of blood has long had a strong social significance, the use of the blood metaphor was an attempt to claim social status for Sucre residents. Since the blood of the nation's founding fathers ran through their veins, the Sucrese people were ideally suited to lead the new Bolivian nation, according to Barron.¹⁵⁹

¹⁵⁷ Andres Calla and Khantuta Muruchi, "Transgressions and Racism: The Struggle over a New Constitution in Bolivia" in Laura Gotkowitz's ed *Histories of Race and Racism* p.299

¹⁵⁸ Jaime Barron Poveda, "Sucre Capital Plena: Hacia la Capital Plena para un gran Cambio Social," in *Ciencia, Tecnologia E Innovacion*, May 10, 2007. Pp.1-2

¹⁵⁹ For a discussion on the significance of purity of blood see: Maria Elena Martinez, *Genealogical Fictions*

Further, Barron directly quoted the 1839 provision naming Sucre the capital of Bolivia in his speech. Just as his Sucrese forefathers had a century earlier, Barron relied on the governmental actions of the founding fathers to justify his claim that Sucre was the ideal place for the national government to reside in Bolivia. Not only were Sucrese people of noble lineage, but the early founding fathers of Bolivia had declared the city of Sucre as the capital of Bolivia. This two-tiered approach not only relies on quasi-racial justifications, but it also used actual legislation to further justify the demands of the Sucre residents.

However, Barron's most passionate claim for the return of the capital discussed the parallels between the birth of the nation and the current conditions. Barron passionately claimed that "[i]t is in Chuquisaca, and in particular in the city of Sucre, where the National and Continental project of equality, liberty, and sovereignty took form with the foundation of the Republic and the birth of the social consciousness of Bolivia...and today it is Chuquisaca, and the city of Sucre, where we have returned to think about the concept of what nation we want, the concept of a renovated Bolivia."¹⁶⁰ By drawing parallels between the two nation-building eras, Barron implicitly argues that the city of Sucre and its residents are the best suited leaders for the Bolivian nation. Continuously, this independence centered discourse has claimed an exceptional character for Sucrenses for political purposes. These discourses suggest that Sucrenses are the most qualified to discuss what direction the Bolivian nation should go, which in effect significantly constrains the principles of equality and liberty that the Sucrenses claim to be so adept at representing.

¹⁶⁰ Barron, "Sucre Capital Plena"

The independence discourse, which was re-invigorated during the Capitalia Plena debates, grew even greater during the build up to the two hundredth anniversary of the May 25th uprising in Sucre. Variations of the discourse were disseminated to the general population of Sucre in a number of forms. Similar tropes soon emerged in popular songs like Charlon Martinez’s song “La Sede Si Se Mueve” and Huascar Aparicio Gonzales’s “Aires de Libertad” and “Grito de Libertad.”¹⁶¹

In Huascar Aparicio’s “Cry of Liberty” the lyrics call Sucre the “cradle of liberty” and he makes direct reference to the ignored heroes of May 25, 1809. Further, he makes the claim that “cry for freedom was conceived in Sucre’s stomach.” Once again these tropes show the continuation of the discourse that places Sucre at the center of a Bolivian origin story. It was in Sucre that the idea of Bolivia was conceived of and where it grew into adulthood. Aparicio’s lyrics even hint at the political call to action inherent in the discourse when he calls for fellow Capitalinos to raise their hands.

Cry of Liberty

By: Huascar Aparicio Gonzales

To the land that saw my birth
I propose a toast in homage
To Sucre the capital of the Bolivian fatherland
For your Colonial Airs, the belle of South America

You are the cradle of liberty
City of the four names
In your stomach the cry for freedom was conceived
For brave heroes ignored, for May 25

I do not want people to forget you
I do not want them to insult you
Enough of what is believe by others
That which by law you always were
We raise our hands

¹⁶¹ Huascar Aparicio Gonzales, “Aires de Libertad,” (Sucre, 2009); Huascar Aparicio Gonzales, “Grito de Libertad,” (Sucre, 2009); Charlon Martinez, “La Sede Si Se Mueve,”(Sucre, 2008)

Brothers from the capital

LA LA lay LA lay LA LA LA...

La lay la la la la...

LA LA lay LA lay

LA LA layy lay lay LA...

We raise our hands

Brothers of the capital

Dear Sucre that you give love without borders

Thanks for showing to the world

The heart of my land!¹⁶²

The citizens of Sucre truly gravitated to the tropes in Barron's speeches and Aparicio's songs, and the Capitalia Plena demand dominated talk surrounding the constitutional assembly in the city of Sucre. When the assembly discarded the Capitalia Plena debate in August of 2007, the atmosphere in the city of Sucre became tenser, and in November the Constitutional Assembly decided it was best if the assembly moved outside of the city limits. They chose to relocate to a military base on the outskirts of the city of Sucre. Sensing that the movement of the assembly was an attempt to further table the debate over the Capitalia Plena, the Sucrense people took to the streets to demand that the assembly return to the Gran Mariscal Theater and discuss the debate over the capital. During this tense moment military forces attempted to block the masses of young Sucrenses and conflict erupted. Numerous people were injured and three young Sucre residents were killed during the brief conflict which was later called *La Calancha*. Already feeling slighted by the failure of their *Capitalia Plena* campaign, the Sucre

¹⁶² Aparicio "Grito de Libertad" (Sucre, 2009)

residents saw the *La Calancha* as a direct offense against their city. This event set the stage for the May 24, 2008 episode of racial violence which served to open this chapter.

As the Sucre residents publicly attacked and humiliated the indigenous supporters of Evo Morales on May 24, 2008, Sucrenses chanted “This is Sucre. Sucre is respected, damn it” after singing the *Himno a Chuquisaca* in front of the Casa de Libertad.¹⁶³ Like the Conservative elite of the nineteenth century and Jaime Baron, these Sucrenses claimed that they were exceptional and demanded to be respected. They too relied heavily on the memories of independence to make these claims. The *Himno a Chuquisaca*, which was written to commemorate the one hundredth anniversary of the May 25th uprising, makes direct reference to Chuquisaca’s role in the independence movements. Further, the crowd strategically took the indigenous victims to the front of the Casa de La Libertad to perform their rituals of racialized violence.¹⁶⁴

While this is the most publicized episode of racism in the city of Sucre, scholars have noted that the city of Sucre plays host to daily incidents of “silent racism” that go unreported.¹⁶⁵ These incidents of daily racism are found on the street, in the city’s restaurants, and in bumper stickers throughout Sucre. In the following picture, the expression of regional pride directly challenges the idea that being an Oligarch is a bad thing. By stating “100% Chuquisacaqueno... Oligarch and what,” this bumper sticker reifies the idea that residents of Sucre have a higher social status than other Bolivians.¹⁶⁶

¹⁶³ “Sucre, Capital del Racismo,” YouTube video, 4:40, posted by “Sucretino,” May 26, 2008, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=J2s15Mjgn9o>,

¹⁶⁴ Ricardo Mujia, *Himno a Chuquisaca* (Sucre, 1909)

¹⁶⁵ Laura Gotkowitz, *Histories of Race and Racism*

¹⁶⁶ “Photo of Bumper Sticker,” photo by Caleb Wittum

Further, the antagonistic stance that being an Oligarch is not a negative label shows that Sucrenses still view themselves as most qualified to lead the Bolivian nation.

Following the dismissal of their demands and the empowerment of the MAS Party, Sucre residents felt that they had not been given the respect they rightfully deserved. Their demands for the capital had been ignored, Sucre students had been victimized in La Calancha, and indigenous men and women from rural Chuquisaca chose to side with the indigenous President Evo Morales instead of the opposition forces in Sucre. These short term catalysts sparked the May 24th incident, but the long term reliance on independence memory and the heartfelt belief in Sucrenses exceptionalism that this discourse fostered help to explain why Sucre residents did not feel respected. Relying on the tried and true strategy of using the memory of independence exploits, Sucrenses once again asserted their exceptionalism and claimed they were most qualified to lead the Bolivian nation throughout the Capitania Plena debate. After these demands were not met, Sucrenses turned to physical violence and humiliation to express their frustration and assert their continued view that they should control the future of the Bolivian nation.

A year after the incident in the Plaza 25 de Mayo, Sucre residents celebrated the bicentennial of the first cry for Bolivian independence. It was a festive atmosphere full of celebration, but one of the official posters for the event reflected the political and social events that had dominated the city over the previous year. In the artistic depiction, Sucre residents are surrounded by smoke and are being restrained by police. The symbolism clearly is a direct reference to the events that took place in *La Calancha*, where the three Sucre residents fell victim to the police efforts to contain the hoard of Sucrenses students. However, standing out above the scenes of victimization in the poster is a woman,

playing the modern day part of Juana Azurduy, about to defiantly lead a charge in defense of the exploited Sucre residents.

The events of La Calancha and those of May 25, 1809 are placed together on this poster celebrating the 200th anniversary of the former date. In what is clearly a political statement, the designers of this mass produced poster once again have appropriated the memory of independence to assert Sucre's identity and to make political claims. In this case, the symbolic Capitalina (the woman representing Sucre) is taking a stand against the violence and disrespect that the Sucrenses felt they had unjustifiably received. Perhaps unknowingly, the organizers of this event chose to rely on the same imagery that the Conservative had a century earlier. Whether intentional or not, the episodes of racial violence in 2008 show that the public discourses of independence and Sucre's exceptionalism continue to have real and violent consequences much as they did in the late nineteenth century.

EPILOGUE

During multiple nation building episodes in Bolivian history, Sucre elite have used independence memory to justify their privileged place in the Bolivian nation. Their Bolivian origin story, which focused on May 25, 1809 and the actions of Chuquisaca residents in the independence battles, has often been used to defend oligarchical and exclusionary political arrangements. In the years leading up to the 1899 federal revolution, Sucre elite enhanced these pre-existing narratives to defend the Conservative oligarchy and their political policies. Despite losing this battle, the Sucre elite were able to continue this discursive project after the war ended. In an effort to foster a smooth reconciliation Liberals allowed these cultural projects to continue, and the memories proliferated as the Centennial arrived in 1909. As an unintended consequence of this Liberal concession, Sucrenses have continued to use independence memory to assert their exceptionalism within the Bolivian nation. This perceived exceptionalism backed by the invented May 25th traditions, placed the city of Sucre at the center of the Bolivian origin story and has been used this to justify their control over the Bolivian political sphere.

The history of the independence memory and discourse in Sucre is largely a tragic and disappointing tale. Recently, scholars have critiqued the Latin American historical profession for continuing to rely on tragic, declensionist narratives to discuss the history

of the region.¹⁶⁷ However, it is difficult not to have an air of the tragic when analyzing independence memory in Sucre. Elite in the city have used these memories to justify oligarchs, exclusionary political arrangements, and racial violence. Nevertheless, independence discourse has not been appropriated solely by the elite for oppressive purposes. As Sucre elite disseminated these memories to assert their exceptionalism, other social groups read, heard, or saw portions of these discourses. Sensing the political and economic utility of independence memory others groups have repurposed similar tropes for their own political ends. This intertextuality has resulted in what linguistic anthropologist have called intertextual gaps, or divergences in meaning and purpose, between the original discourse and the repurposed ones.¹⁶⁸ In the Chuquisaca case, independence memory, which originally served to restrict political participation and defend exclusionary regimes, has been re-contextualized by indigenous communities to demand more encompassing economic and political systems.

About two hours outside of the city of Sucre, the rural, indigenous community of Tarabuco has also chosen the Bolivian wars for independence as their town's emblematic memory. The Tarabuco's main streets are named after independence figures, they perform independence annually during Carnival, and their plaza has a statue of a soldier from the independence era. The town has even used memories of independence to generate tourism and gain international recognition by Unesco.¹⁶⁹ These men and women from rural Chuquisaca have found ways to reclaim the same memories of independence

¹⁶⁷ Jorge Cañizares-Esguerra, *Puritan Conquistadors: Iberianizing the Atlantic, 1550-1700* (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2006)

¹⁶⁸ Richard Bauman, *A World of Others' Worlds: Cross-Cultural Perspectives on Intertextuality*. (Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell, 2004)

¹⁶⁹ Consulado de Bolivia, "Unesco declara al Pujllay de Tarabuco Patrimonio Cultural de la Humanidad," November 27, 2014 <http://www.consuladodebolivia.com.ar/2014/11/27/unesco-declara-al-pujllay-de-tarabuco-patrimonio-cultural-de-la-humanidad/>

that were used during the humiliation of indigenous Chuquisacaquenos in the city of Sucre. Perhaps in the future, independence memory will help to contest the oppression, exclusion, and racism that it has traditionally been used to justify.

REFERENCES

- Appelbaum, Nancy P. Muddied Waters: Race, Region, and Local History in Columbia, 1846-1948, (Durham, Duke University Press, 2003)
- Bauman, Richard. A World of Others' Worlds: Cross-Cultural Perspectives on Intertextuality, (Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell, 2004)
- Beezley, William H. and Lorey, David E. eds. Viva Mexico! Viva Independencia!: Celebrations of September 16, (Wilmington: Scholarly Resources Inc, 2001)
- Blight, David W. American Oracle: The Civil War in the Civil Rights Era (Cambridge: Belknap Press, 2013)
- Calla, Pamela ed. Observando el Racismo: Racismo y Regionalismo en el Proceso Constituyente, (La Paz: Defensor del Pueblo y Universidad de la Cordillera, 2008)
- Cañizares-Esguerra, Jorge. Puritan Conquistadors: Iberianizing the Atlantic, 1550-1700 (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2006)
- Chambers, Sarah C. From Subjects To Citizens: Honor, Gender, and Politics in Arequipa, Peru 1780-1854, (University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press, 1999)
- Crabtree, John and Whitehead, Laurence eds. Unresolved Tensions: Bolivia Past and Present, (Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press, 2008)
- Cusicanqui, Silvia Rivera. "Oppressed but not Defeated": Peasant Struggles among the Aymara and Qhechwa in Bolivia, 1900-1980, (Geneva: United Nations Research Institute for Social Development, 1987)
- Deloria, Phillip J. Playing Indian, (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1998)
- Earle, Rebecca. The Return of the Native: Indians and Myth-Making in Spanish America, 1810-1930, (Durham: Duke University Press, 2007)
- Earle, Rebecca. "'Padres de la Patria' and the Ancestral Past: Commemorations of Independence in Nineteenth Century Spanish America," *Journal of Latin American Studies*, Vol. 34 No. 4 (2002). Pp. 775-805

- Ferrer, Ada. Insurgent Cuba: Race, Nation, and Revolution, 1868-1898, (Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 1999)
- Gotkowitz, Laura. A Revolution For Our Rights: Indigenous Struggles for Land and Justice in Bolivia, 1880-1952, (Durham: Duke University Press, 2007)
- Gotkowitz, Laura ed. Histories of Race and Racism: The Andes and Mesoamerica from Colonial Times to the Present, (Durham: Duke University Press, 2011)
- Halbwachs, Maurice. The Collective Memory, trans. Francis J. Ditter Jr. and Vida Yazdi, (Harper & Row, 1980)
- Helg, Aline. Liberty and Equality in Caribbean Colombia, 1770-1835, (Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 2004)
- Hylton, Forrest and Thomson, Sinclair. Revolutionary Horizons: Past and Present in Bolivian Politics, (New York: Verso, 2007)
- Hylton, Forrest. "Reverberations of Insurgency: Indian Communities, the Federal War of 1899, and the Regeneration of Bolivia." PhD diss., New York University, 2010. ProQuest (250869905)
- Kraay, Hendrik. Days of National Festivity in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 1832-1889, (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2013)
- Kuenzli, E. Gabrielle. Acting Inca: National Belonging in Early Twentieth-Century Bolivia, (Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press, 2013)
- Larson, Brooke. Trials of Nation Making: Liberalism, Race, and Ethnicity in the Andes, 1810-1910, (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2004)
- Lasso, Marixa, Myths of Harmony: Race and Republicanism during the Age of Revolution, Colombia 1795-1831, (Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press, 2007)
- Lynch, John. The Spanish American Revolutions 1808-1826, (New York: W.W. Norton & Company, 1973)
- Mallon, Florencia. Peasant and Nation: The Making of Postcolonial Mexico and Peru, (Los Angeles: University of California Press, 1995)
- Mendieta, Pilar. Entre La Alianza y La Confrontación: Pablo Zarate Willka y la rebelión indígena de 1899 en Bolivia, (La Paz: Plural Editores, 2010)
- Mendoza-Pizarro, Javier. La Mesa Coja: Historia de la Proclama de la Junta Tuitiva del 16 de Julio de 1809, (La Paz: Plural Editores, 2009)

- Montalvo, Beatriz Rossells. “La ‘Reconciliación’ De Las Elites Intelectuales Después De La Guerra Civil (1898-1899): Los Caminos De La Violencia Étnica.” *Estudios Bolivianos* No. 17
- Morales, Ramiro Condarco. Zarate El “Temible” Willka: Historia de la Rebelion Indigena de 1899, (La Paz: Renovacion, 1982)
- Nora, Pierre. Realms of Memory: Rethinking the French Past, Vol. 1-Conflicts and Divisions, trans. Arthur Goldhammer, (New York: Columbia University Press, 1996)
- Postero, Nancy Grey. Now We Are Citizens: Indigenous Politics in Postmulticultural Bolivia, (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2007)
- Rappaport, Joanne. Cumbe Reborn: An Andean Ethnography of History (Chicago: University of Chicago press, 1994)
- Roca, Jose Luis. Fisonomia del Regionalismo Boliviano, (La Paz: Editorial Los Amigos del Libro, 1980)
- Roca, Jose Luis. 1809: La Revolucion de la Audiencia de Charcas en Chuisaca y en La Paz (La Paz: Plural Editores, 1998)
- Said, Edward. Orientalism, (New York: Vintage Books, 1979)
- Stern, Steve J. Remembering Pinochet’s Chile: On the Eve of London 1998, (Durham: Duke University Press 2006)
- Taylor, Alan. The Internal Enemy: Slavery and War in Virginia, 1772-1832, (New York: W.W. Norton & Company, 2013)
- Tutino, John. From Insurrection to Revolution in Mexico: Social Bases of Agrarian Violence 1750-1950, (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1986)
- Wade, Peter. Blackness and Race Mixture: The Dynamics of Racial Identity in Colombia, (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1993)
- Webber, Jeffery. “Carlos Mesa, Evo Morales, and a Divided Bolivia (2003-2005)” in *Latin American Perspectives*, Vol. 37, No. 3, May 2012
- Weinstein, Barbara. “Racializing Regional Difference: Sao Paulo versus Brazil, 1932” in Race and Nation in Modern Latin America, (Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 2003)

Young, Eric Van. The Other Rebellion: Popular Violence, Ideology, and the Mexican Struggle for Independence, 1810-1821, (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2001)